arXiv:1209.2510v1 [math.AP] 12 Sep 2012

BLOW UP FOR THE CRITICAL GKDV EQUATION III: EXOTIC REGIMES

YVAN MARTEL, FRANK MERLE, AND PIERRE RAPHAËL

Abstract. We consider the blow up problem in H^1 for the L^2 critical (gKdV) equation in the continuation of [38], [39]. We know from [38] that the unique and stable blow up rate for H^1 solutions close to the solitons with strong decay on the right is

$$\|u_x(t)\|_{L^2} \sim \frac{1}{T-t} \quad \text{as } t \uparrow T < +\infty.$$

In this paper, we construct non-generic blow up regimes in H^1 by considering initial data with explicit slow decay on the right in space. We obtain finite time blow up solutions with speed

$$\|u_x(t)\|_{L^2} \sim \frac{1}{(T-t)^\nu} \quad \text{as } t \uparrow T < +\infty, \quad \nu > \frac{11}{13},$$

as well as global in time growing up solutions with both exponential growth

$$\|u_x(t)\|_{L^2} \sim e^t \quad \text{as } t \to +\infty,$$

or any power growth

$$\|u_x(t)\|_{L^2} \sim t^\nu \quad \text{as } t \to +\infty, \quad \nu > 0.$$

These solutions can be taken with initial data arbitrarily close in H^1 to the ground state solitary wave.

1. Introduction

1.1. Setting of the problem.

We consider the L^2-critical generalized Korteweg–de Vries equation (gKdV)

$$\text{(gKdV)} \quad \begin{cases} u_t + (u_{xx} + u^5)_x = 0, & (t,x) \in [0,T) \times \mathbb{R}, \\ u(0,x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

The Cauchy problem is locally well-posed in the energy space H^1 from Kenig, Ponce and Vega [20, 21]. Given $u_0 \in H^1$, there exists a unique[1] maximal solution $u(t)$ of (1.1) in $C([0,T), H^1)$ with either $T = +\infty$, or $T < +\infty$ and then $\lim_{t\to T} \|u_x(t)\|_{L^2} = +\infty$.

For H^1 solution, the mass and the energy are conserved by the flow: $\forall t \in [0,T)$,

$$M(u(t)) = \int u^2(t) = M(u_0), \quad E(u(t)) = \frac{1}{2}\int u_x^2(t) - \frac{1}{6}\int u^6(t) = E(u_0).$$

Equation (1.1) has the following invariances: if $u(t,x)$ is solution of (1.1) then $-u(t,x)$, $u(-t,-x)$ and

$$\lambda_0^{\frac{1}{2}} u(\lambda_0^3(t-t_0), \lambda_0(x-x_0)), \quad (\lambda_0, t_0, x_0) \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$$

are also solutions of (1.1).

The family of traveling wave solutions of (1.1), called solitons, plays a distinguished role in the analysis:

---

[1] in a certain sense

$$u(t,x)=Q_{\lambda_0}(x-\lambda_0^{-2}t-x_0),\quad (\lambda_0,x_0)\in\mathbb{R}_+^*\times\mathbb{R},$$

with

$$Q_\lambda(x)=\frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac12}}Q\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right),\quad Q(x)=\left(\frac{3}{\cosh^2(2x)}\right)^{\frac14},\quad Q''+Q^5=Q. \tag{1.2}$$

It is well-known that the function Q is related to the following sharp Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality ([65])

$$\forall v\in H^1,\quad \int|v|^6\le\int v_x^2\left(\frac{\int v^2}{\int Q^2}\right)^2. \tag{1.3}$$

Moreover, from (1.3), mass and energy conservations, for initial data in H^1 such that $\|u_0\|_{L^2}<\|Q\|_{L^2}$, the corresponding solution $u(t)$ of (1.1) is bounded in H^1 and thus globally defined in time.

1.2. On the classification of the flow near Q.

For

$$\|Q\|_{L^2}<\|u_0\|_{L^2}<\|Q\|_{L^2}+\alpha_0,\quad \alpha_0\ll 1 \tag{1.4}$$

the blow up problem has been first studied in a series of works by Martel and Merle [31, 32, 44, 33, 34]. In particular, from a rigidity theorem around solitons ([31]), the first proof of blow up in finite or infinite time was obtained ([44]) for initial data

$$u_0\in H^1 \text{ such that (1.4) and } E(u_0)<0. \tag{1.5}$$

Recently, in [38, 39], the authors of the present paper have revisited the blow up analysis for data near the ground state. First, in the so-called minimal mass case $\|u_0\|_{L^2}=\|Q\|_{L^2}$, the following existence and uniqueness results complement results in [35].

Minimal mass blow up solution ([39], [35])**.** (i) Existence. *There exists a solution* $S(t)\in\mathcal{C}((0,+\infty),H^1)$ *to* (1.1) *with minimal mass* $\|S(t)\|_{L^2}=\|Q\|_{L^2}$ *such that*

$$\|S_x(t)\|_{L^2}\sim\frac{\|Q'\|_{L^2}}{t}\quad \textit{as } t\downarrow 0. \tag{1.6}$$

(ii) Uniqueness. *Let* u *be an* H^1 *blow up solution to* (1.1) *with minimal mass* $\|u(t)\|_{L^2}=\|Q\|_{L^2}$. *Then* $u=S$ *up to the invariances of the (gKdV) equation.*

Second, [38, 39] yield a classification of the flow for initial data close to Q *with decay on the right in space.* More precisely, let

$$\mathcal{A}=\left\{u_0=Q+\varepsilon_0 \text{ with } \|\varepsilon_0\|_{H^1}<\alpha_0 \text{ and } \int_{y>0}y^{10}\varepsilon_0^2<1\right\},$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{\alpha^*}=\left\{u\in H^1 \text{ with } \inf_{\lambda_0>0,\,x_0\in\mathbb{R}}\|u-Q_{\lambda_0}(.-x_0)\|_{L^2}<\alpha^*\right\}.$$

Then the following classification result holds:

Classification in $\mathcal{A}$ ([38, 39])**.** Let $0<\alpha_0\ll\alpha^*\ll 1$. *Let* $u_0\in\mathcal{A}$ *and* $u\in\mathcal{C}([0,T),H^1)$ *be the corresponding solution of* (1.1). *Then, one of the following three scenarios occurs:*

(Blow up) *For all* $t\in[0,T)$, $u(t)\in\mathcal{T}_{\alpha^*}$ *and the solution blows up in finite time* $T<+\infty$ *with*

$$\|u_x(t)\|_{L^2}\sim\frac{\|Q'\|_{L^2}}{\ell_0(T-t)}\quad \textit{as } t\uparrow T \textit{ for some } \ell_0>0. \tag{1.7}$$

(Soliton) *The solution is global and*

$$u(t,\cdot+x(t)) \to Q_{\lambda_\infty} \text{ in } H^1_{\mathrm{loc}} \text{ as } t\to+\infty \text{ for } |\lambda_\infty-1|+|x'(t)-1| \lesssim \delta(\alpha_0), \quad (1.8)$$

where $\delta(\alpha_0)\to 0$ *as* $\alpha_0 \to 0$.

(Exit and S dynamics) *The solution* u *exits the tube* $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha^*}$ *at some time* $t_u \in (0,T)$, *and there exist* $\lambda_u>0$, $x_u\in\mathbb{R}$, *such that*

$$\|\lambda_u^{\frac12} u(t_u,\lambda_u x+x_u) - S(t^*,x)\|_{L^2} \le \delta(\alpha_0),$$

where $\delta(\alpha_0)\to 0$ *as* $\alpha_0\to 0$ *and where* $t^*>0$ *depends only on* α^*.
Moreover, assume that S *scatters at* $+\infty$, *then* u *is global and scatters at* $+\infty$.

In particular, this indicates that for initial data in $\mathcal{A}$, only one type of blow up is possible. In this paper, we prove that for initial data in H^1, but with slow decay, different blow up behaviors are possible close to solitons. It means that the decay assumption in the definition of $\mathcal{A}$ is not a technical one.

1.3. **Exotic blow up regimes.** We now consider initial data $u_0 \notin \mathcal{A}$ in the sense that they display an explicit slow decay on the right. Our main result in this paper says that the blow up rate $\frac{1}{(T-t)}$, which is universal in $\mathcal{A}$, is not valid anymore for such initial data. Indeed, we produce a wide range of different blow up rates, including grow up in infinite time.

Theorem 1.1 (Exotic blow up regimes)**.**
(i) Blow up in finite time: *for any* $\nu>\frac{11}{13}$, *there exists* $u\in C((0,T_0],H^1)$ *solution of* (1.1) *blowing up at* $t=0$ *with*

$$\|u_x(t)\|_{L^2} \sim t^{-\nu} \quad \text{as} \quad t\downarrow 0^+. \tag{1.9}$$

(ii) Grow up in infinite time: *there exists* $u\in C([T_0,+\infty),H^1)$ *solution of* (1.1) *growing up at* $+\infty$ *with*

$$\|u_x(t)\|_{L^2} \sim e^{t} \quad \text{as} \quad t\to+\infty. \tag{1.10}$$

For any $\nu>0$, *there exists* $u\in C([0,+\infty),H^1)$ *solution of* (1.1) *growing up at* $+\infty$ *with*

$$\|u_x(t)\|_{L^2} \sim t^{\nu} \quad \text{as} \quad t\to+\infty. \tag{1.11}$$

Moreover, such solutions can be taken arbitrarily close in H^1 *to the family of solitons.*

Comments on Theorem 1.1.

1. Sharpness of the results in [38, 39]. Theorem 1.1 above shows the optimality of the results in [38, 39] since it proves that some decay assumption (such as $u_0\in\mathcal{A}$) is required to obtain a unique stable blow up rate $1/(T-t)$. This is in contrast with the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, for which the stable blow up rate is obtained in H^1, without additional decay assumption (see [49] and references therein). Note from the proof that the solutions obtained in Theorem 1.1 are expected to be unstable (except may be for $\nu<1$ in (1.9)). Indeed, they are constructed using a topogical argument involving two possible directions of instability.

2. It is proved in [44, 33] that initial data u_0 such that (1.5) generate solutions that blow up in finite or infinite time. The proof is by obstruction and Liouville classification and does not provide any estimate on the blow up speed. This H^1 result is also sharp in the sense that from Theorem 1.1, both finite or infinite time blow up may occur in H^1. All these results thus complement each other.

3. On the role of tails. As one can see from the proof of Theorem 1.1, the blow up rate is directly related to the precise behavior of the initial data on the right. In particular, other type of blow up speeds can be produced by similar arguments by adjusting the tail of the initial data. A similar phenomenon was observed for global in time growing up solutions to the parabolic energy critical harmonic heat flow by Gustafson, Nakanishi and Tsai [16]. There an explicit formula on the growth of the solution at infinity is given directly in terms of the initial data which is conceptually very similar to what we observe for (gKdV).

Recall that continua of blow up rates were observed in pioneering works by Krieger, Schlag and Tataru [27], [28] for energy critical wave problems (see also Donninger and Krieger [6]). We also refer to Fila et al. [13] for a formal approach in the case of the energy critical heat equation. All these results point out that the sole critical topology is not enough to classify the flow near the ground state.

4. On the decay assumption. In [38] (see the definition of $\mathcal{A}$), the assumption $\int y^{10}\varepsilon^2 < 1$ is not sharp. In Theorem 1.1, the solution contains a tail of the form $x^{-\theta}$ for $x \gg 1$, where $\theta \in (1, \frac{29}{18})$. By now, it is not clear what is the sharp decay assumption on the initial data required to get the stable blow up rate in [38].

Aknowledgments. P.R. is supported by the French ERC/ANR project SWAP. Part of this work was completed was P.R. was visiting the Mathematics Department at MIT which he would like to thank for its kind hospitality. This work is also supported by the project ERC 291214 BLOWDISOL.

Notation. For $f, g \in L^2$, we note the scalar product:

$$(f,g) = \int f(x)g(x)dx.$$

We introduce the generator of the L^2 scaling symmetry

$$\Lambda f = \frac{1}{2}f + yf'.$$

We let the linearized operator close to the ground state be:

$$Lf = -f'' + f - 5Q^4 f. \tag{1.12}$$

For a given small constant $0 < \alpha^* \ll 1$, $\delta(\alpha^*)$ denotes a small constant with

$$\delta(\alpha^*) \to 0 \ \text{ as } \ \alpha^* \to 0.$$

We denote by $\mathbf{1}_I$ the characteristic function of the interval I.

1.4. **Strategy of the proof.** (i) *Definition and role of the slow decaying tail.* Given $c_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $x_0 \gg 1$, $\theta > 1$, we fix a smooth function f_0 which corresponds to a slowly decaying tail:

$$f_0(x) = c_0 x^{-\theta} \text{ for } x > \tfrac{x_0}{2}, \quad f_0(x) = 0 \text{ for } x < \tfrac{x_0}{4}, \tag{1.13}$$

and q_0 the solution of

$$\partial_t q_0 + \partial_x(\partial_x^2 q_0 + q_0^5) = 0, \quad q_0(0,x) = f_0(x). \tag{1.14}$$

We then consider the solution to (1.1) with initial data $Q + f_0$ and claim that it admits a decomposition of the form

$$u(t,x) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)}\left(Q_{b(t)} + \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)q_0(t,x(t))Y_0 + \varepsilon\right)\left(t, \frac{x-x(t)}{\lambda(t)}\right) + q_0(t,x) \tag{1.15}$$

for some

$$\|\varepsilon(t)\|_{H^1} \ll 1$$

and where Y_0 is a fixed function (see Lemma 2.1 for the definition of Y_0 and Proposition 2.4 for the justification of this correction term). An essential feature of the nonlinear (gKdV) flow is that $q_0(t,x)$ conserves for $x \gtrsim t$ the slow decay of $f_0(x)$ (see Lemma 2.3). This tail then acts like an external force on the coupled system of modulation equations driving $(b(t), \lambda(t), x(t))$ and modify its behavior.

(ii) *Dynamical system perturbed by a tail on the right.* Let us consider the global renormalized time

$$\frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{1}{\lambda^3}. \tag{1.16}$$

Then, explicit computations similar to the ones in [38] yield to leading order (neglecting ε and higher order terms in (b, λ, x)) the set of coupled modulation equations in the setting of the decomposition (1.15):

$$\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b = 0, \quad x_s = \lambda, \quad \frac{d}{ds}\left(\frac{b}{\lambda^2} + \frac{4}{\int Q} c_0 \lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}} x^{-\theta}\right) = 0. \tag{1.17}$$

This system is to be compared to the unperturbed one obtained in [38], for $u_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ (without tail):

$$\frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{1}{\lambda^3}, \quad \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b = 0, \quad x_s = \lambda, \quad \frac{d}{ds}\left(\frac{b}{\lambda^2}\right) = 0, \tag{1.18}$$

which leads to the universal blow up regime

$$\frac{b}{\lambda^2} = \ell_0, \quad \lambda(t) = \ell_0(T-t) \ \text{ for some } \ \ell_0 > 0.$$

We now integrate explicitly (1.17) and fit the parameters of the tail (c_0, θ) to obtain the blow up regimes described in Theorem 1.1. Integrating in s, we find

$$\frac{b}{\lambda^2} + \frac{4}{\int Q} c_0 \lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}} x^{-\theta} = \ell_0,$$

where ℓ_0 is a constant. We focus on the threshold regime $\ell_0 = 0$ leading to:

$$\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b = 0, \quad x_s = \lambda, \quad \frac{b}{\lambda^2} + \frac{4}{\int Q} c_0 \lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}} x^{-\theta} = 0, \tag{1.19}$$

which can now be integrated as follows:

$$\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \lambda_s = \frac{4}{\int Q} c_0 \lambda x^{-\theta} = \frac{4}{\int Q} c_0 x_s x^{-\theta}$$

or equivalently after integration:

$$\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(s) + \frac{2}{\int Q} \frac{1}{\theta - 1} c_0 x^{-\theta+1}(s) = \ell_1.$$

We focus again on the threshold regime $\ell_1 = 0$ leading to:

$$\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(s) = -\frac{2}{\int Q} \frac{1}{\theta - 1} c_0 x^{-\theta+1}(s).$$

We see that $c_0 < 0$ is necessary at this point and

$$x_s(s) = \lambda(s) = \left(\frac{2}{\int Q} \frac{1}{\theta - 1} c_0\right)^2 x^{-2\theta+2}(s).$$

By integration on $[s_0, s]$, choosing $x^{2\theta-1}(s_0) = (2\theta - 1)\left(\frac{2}{\int Q}\frac{1}{\theta-1}c_0\right)^2 s_0$, we obtain

$$x^{2\theta-1}(s) = (2\theta - 1)\left(\frac{2}{\int Q}\frac{1}{\theta-1}c_0\right)^2 s,$$

and thus

$$\lambda(s) = (2\theta-1)^{\frac{-2\theta+2}{2\theta-1}}\left(\frac{2}{\int Q}\frac{1}{\theta-1}c_0\right)^{\frac{2}{2\theta-1}} s^{-\frac{2(\theta-1)}{2\theta-1}}.$$

Set

$$\beta = \frac{2(\theta-1)}{2\theta-1}, \quad \theta = \frac{1-\frac{\beta}{2}}{1-\beta}, \quad c_0 = -\frac{\int Q}{2}(\theta-1)(2\theta-1)^{\theta-1},$$

so that

$$\lambda(s) = s^{-\beta}, \quad x(s) = \frac{1}{1-\beta}s^{1-\beta}, \quad b(s) = \frac{\beta}{s}. \tag{1.20}$$

Of course, one can check directly that (1.20) are solutions of the system (1.17) but the above computation reveals the two instability directions

$$\ell_0 = \ell_1 = 0, \tag{1.21}$$

and justifies the use of a topological argument to construct the solution.

(iii) *Control of the remainder term.* We now aim at constructing an exact solution which corresponds to control the remainder term $\varepsilon(t, x)$. Note that we may now choose $\varepsilon_0(x)$ to be *well localized on the right*, and we therefore adapt the machinery developed in [38] to construct a mixed energy/Virial functional

$$\mathcal{F} \sim \int \psi\varepsilon_y^2 + \varphi\varepsilon^2 - \frac{1}{3}\psi\left[(Q_b+\varepsilon)^6 - Q_b^6 - 6Q_b^5\varepsilon\right]$$

for well chosen cut off functions (ψ, φ) which are exponentially decaying to the left, and polynomially growing to the right. Roughly speaking, in the above regime (1.20), this functional enjoys two fundamental properties:
– Coercivity :

$$\mathcal{F} \gtrsim \|\varepsilon\|^2_{H^1_{\rm loc}}.$$

– Lyapounov monotonicity :

$$\frac{d}{ds}\left\{s^j\mathcal{F}\right\} + s^j\|\varepsilon\|^2_{H^1_{\rm loc}} \lesssim s^{j-4}, \quad j \geq 0. \tag{1.22}$$

Time integration of the monotonicity formula (1.22) in the regime dictated by (1.20) yields sufficient uniform estimates on ε. Therefore, it only remains to adjust the initial parameters $(b(s_0), \lambda(s_0))$ in order to asymptotically satisfy the unstable conditions (1.21). This is achieved using a simple topological argument, as in [4] but in a blow up setting (see also [17], [62], [51], [5]).

(iv) *Conclusion of the proof returning to the original time variable.* The above strategy is implemented for all $0 < \beta < \frac{11}{20}$. Now we show how the behavior of the parameters (1.20) (see the precise estimates in (3.10)) in renormalized time leads to the scenarios of Theorem 1.1 in the original time t (after possible scaling and time translation to adjust constants).
– Blow up in finite time: for $\frac{1}{3} < \beta < \frac{11}{20}$. From (1.16), (1.20):

$$\int_{s_0}^{+\infty} \lambda^3(s)ds = T < +\infty$$

and the solution $u(t)$ blows up in finite time T. Moreover,

$$T-t=\int_{s(t)}^{+\infty}\lambda^3(s')ds'\sim\frac{s^{-(3\beta-1)}}{3\beta-1},\quad \lambda(t)\sim[(3\beta-1)(T-t)]^{\frac{\beta}{3\beta-1}},$$

which implies $\|u_x(t)\|_{L^2}\sim\|Q'\|_{L^2}\lambda^{-1}(t)\sim C(T-t)^{-\nu}$ for any $\nu\in(\frac{11}{13},+\infty)$.

– Grow up in infinite time: for $\beta=\frac13$, the solution $u(t)$ is global in time since $\int_{s_0}^{+\infty}\lambda^3(s)ds=+\infty$. Moreover, for some c_0 and some $c_1>0$,

$$t=\int_{s_0}^{s(t)}\lambda^3(s')ds'=\log s+c_0+O(s^{-\frac{1}{10}}),\quad s\sim c_1e^t,\quad \lambda(t)\sim c_1^{-\frac13}e^{-\frac t3}.$$

This means grow up in infinite time for $u(t)$ with exponential growth. Scaling and time translation lead to any exponential rate e^{-ct}, $c>0$. Finally, for $0<\beta<\frac13$, we also obtain a global solution $u(t)$ since

$$\int_{s_0}^{+\infty}\lambda^3(s)ds\geq 2^{-3}\int_{s_0}^{+\infty}s^{-3\beta}ds=+\infty,$$

and

$$t=\int_{s_0}^{t(s)}\lambda^3(s')ds'\sim\frac{1}{1-3\beta}s^{1-3\beta},\quad \lambda(t)\sim(1-3\beta)^{\frac{\beta}{1-3\beta}}t^{\frac{\beta}{1-3\beta}},$$

which means grow up rates t^ν at $+\infty$, for any $\nu=\frac{\beta}{1-3\beta}>0$.

2. Decomposition of the solution

This section is devoted to the study of the geometrical decomposition (1.15), and in particular the derivation of the modulation equations.

2.1. Inversion of L and Q_b profiles.

Let the functional space $\mathcal{Y}$ be the set of functions $f\in\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\forall k\in\mathbb{N},\ \exists C_k,\ r_k>0,\ \forall y\in\mathbb{R},\quad |f^{(k)}(y)|\leq C_k(1+|y|)^{r_k}e^{-|y|},\tag{2.1}$$

and L be the linearized operator close to Q given by (1.12). We claim:

Lemma 2.1 (Invertibility of L). (i) *There exists a unique $Y_0\in\mathcal{Y}$, even, such that*

$$LY_0=5Q^4,\quad (Q,Y_0)=-\frac34\int Q.\tag{2.2}$$

(ii) *There exists a unique function P such that $P'\in\mathcal{Y}$ and*

$$(LP)'=\Lambda Q,\quad \lim_{y\to-\infty}P(y)=\frac12\int Q,\quad \lim_{y\to+\infty}P(y)=0,\tag{2.3}$$

$$(P,Q)=\frac{1}{16}\left(\int Q\right)^2>0,\quad (P,Q')=0.\tag{2.4}$$

Proof. Note that the existence and uniqueness of Y_0 follows readily from standard properties of the operator L (see e.g. [38]). Moreover,

$$(Q,Y_0)=-\frac12(L\Lambda Q,Y_0)=-\frac12(\Lambda Q,5Q^4)=-\frac34\int Q^5=-\frac34\int Q.$$

Part (ii) is taken from [38], Proposition 2.2. □

A simple consequence of Lemma 2.1 (ii) is the existence of a one parameter family of approximate self similar profiles $b \mapsto Q_b$, $|b| \ll 1$, which provide the leading order deformation of the ground state profile $Q = Q_{b=0}$ in the blow up regimes. More precisely, let $\chi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be such that $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$, $\chi' \geq 0$ on $\mathbb{R}$, $\chi \equiv 1$ on $[-1, +\infty)$, $\chi \equiv 0$ on $(-\infty, -2]$, and define

$$\chi_b(y) = \chi\left(|b|^\gamma y\right), \quad \gamma = \frac{3}{4}. \tag{2.5}$$

The following Lemma is proved in [38]:

Lemma 2.2 (Approximate self-similar profiles Q_b, [38])**.** *Let*

$$Q_b(y) = Q(y) + b\chi_b(y)P(y). \tag{2.6}$$

Then:

(i) Estimates on Q_b: *For all $y \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$|Q_b(y)| \lesssim e^{-|y|} + |b|\left(\mathbf{1}_{[-2,0]}(|b|^\gamma y) + e^{-\frac{|y|}{2}}\right), \tag{2.7}$$

$$|Q_b^{(k)}(y)| \lesssim e^{-|y|} + |b|e^{-\frac{|y|}{2}} + |b|^{1+k\gamma}\mathbf{1}_{[-2,-1]}(|b|^\gamma y), \quad \textit{for } k \geq 1. \tag{2.8}$$

(ii) Equation of Q_b: *let*

$$-\Psi_b = \left(Q_b'' - Q_b + Q_b^5\right)' + b\Lambda Q_b, \tag{2.9}$$

then, for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|\Psi_b(y)| \lesssim |b|^{1+\gamma}\mathbf{1}_{[-2,-1]}(|b|^\gamma y) + b^2\left(e^{-\frac{|y|}{2}} + \mathbf{1}_{[-2,0]}(|b|^\gamma y)\right), \tag{2.10}$$

$$|\Psi_b^{(k)}(y)| \lesssim |b|^{1+(k+1)\gamma}\mathbf{1}_{[-2,-1]}(|b|^\gamma y) + b^2 e^{-\frac{|y|}{2}}, \quad \textit{for } k \geq 1. \tag{2.11}$$

(iii) Mass and energy properties of Q_b:

$$\left|\int Q_b^2 - \left(\int Q^2 + 2b\int PQ\right)\right| \lesssim |b|^{2-\gamma}, \tag{2.12}$$

$$\left|E(Q_b) + b\int PQ\right| \lesssim b^2. \tag{2.13}$$

2.2. **Definition of the tail on the right.** We now introduce the slowly decaying tail on the right. Let $c_0 < 0$, $x_0 \gg 1$, $\theta > 1$ and let f_0 be a smooth function such that

$$f_0(x) = \begin{cases} c_0 x^{-\theta} & \text{for } x > \frac{x_0}{2}, \\ 0 & \text{for } x < \frac{x_0}{4}, \end{cases} \tag{2.14}$$

and

$$\left|\frac{d^k f_0}{dx^k}(x)\right| \lesssim |x|^{-\theta-k}, \quad \forall (x,k) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.15}$$

Let q_0 be the solution of

$$\partial_t q_0 + \partial_x(\partial_x^2 q_0 + q_0^5) = 0, \quad q_0(0,x) = f_0(x). \tag{2.16}$$

A simple consequence of local energy estimates for (gKdV) is the propagation of the tail on the right:

Lemma 2.3 (Asymptotic behavior of q_0)**.** *The solution q_0 of* (2.16) *is global, smooth and bounded in H^1. Moreover, $\forall t \geq 0$, $\forall x > \frac{t}{2} + \frac{x_0}{2}$,*

$$\forall k \geq 0, \quad |\partial_x^k q_0(t,x) - f_0^{(k)}(x)| \lesssim t^{\frac{3}{8}} x^{-\theta-\frac{19}{8}-k} \lesssim x^{-\theta-2-k}, \tag{2.17}$$

$$|\partial_t q_0(t,x)| \lesssim x^{-\theta-3}. \tag{2.18}$$

See proof of Lemma 2.3 in Appendix A.

2.3. **Decomposition of the solution.** Let $c_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda_0 \ll 1$ and $x_0 \gg 1$. Consider $u(t,x)$ a solution of (1.1) and set

$$w(t,x) = u(t,x) - q_0(t,x). \tag{2.19}$$

We assume that w is close to Q in the following sense : there exist $(\lambda_1(t), x_1(t)) \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon_1(t)$ such that

$$\forall t \in [0,t_0], \ \ \lambda_1(t) < \frac{10}{9}\lambda_0, \quad x_1(t) > \frac{9}{10}x_0, \tag{2.20}$$

$$w(t,x) = \frac{1}{\lambda_1^{\frac12}(t)}(Q+\varepsilon_1)\left(t, \frac{x-x_1(t)}{\lambda_1(t)}\right) \tag{2.21}$$

with

$$\forall t \in [0,t_0], \ \ \|\varepsilon_1(t)\|_{L^2} + \left(\int (\partial_y \varepsilon_1)^2 e^{-\frac{|y|}{2}}dy\right)^{\frac12} \le \alpha^* \tag{2.22}$$

for some small enough universal constant $\alpha^* > 0$. We collect in the following Proposition the standard preliminary estimates on this decomposition, and derive in particular the set of modulation equations as a consequence of a suitable choice of orthogonality conditions for the remainder term.

Proposition 2.4 (Preliminary estimates and modulation equations)**.** *Assume* (2.20)–(2.22) *for α^* small enough, and assume x_0 large enough and λ_0 small enough.*
(i) Decomposition: *There exist $\mathcal{C}^1$ functions $(\lambda, x, b) : [0,t_0] \to (0,+\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2$ such that*

$$\forall t \in [0,t_0], \quad \lambda^{\frac12}(t) w(t, \lambda(t)y + x(t)) = Q_{b(t)}(y) + p(t)Y_0(y) + \varepsilon(t,y), \tag{2.23}$$

where Y_0 is given by (2.2),

$$p(t) = q(t,0), \quad q(t,y) = \lambda^{\frac12}(t) q_0(t, \lambda(t)y + x(t)), \tag{2.24}$$

and $\varepsilon(t,y)$ satisfies

$$(\varepsilon(t), y\Lambda Q) = (\varepsilon(t), \Lambda Q) = (\varepsilon(t), Q) = 0, \tag{2.25}$$

$$\lambda(t) < \frac54 \lambda_0, \quad x(t) > \frac45 x_0. \tag{2.26}$$

(ii) Estimates induced by the conservation laws:

$$\|\varepsilon(s)\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \left|\int u_0^2 - \int Q^2\right| + |b(s)| + |p(s)| + x_0^{-\theta+\frac12}, \tag{2.27}$$

$$\frac{1}{\lambda^2}\|\varepsilon_y(s)\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim |E(u_0)| + \left|\frac{b}{\lambda^2} + c_0 \frac{4}{\int Q}\lambda^{-\frac32}x^{-\theta}\right| + \frac{b^2}{\lambda^2} + \frac{|p|}{x^2} + \frac{|p|}{\lambda x} + \frac{p^2}{\lambda^2} + x_0^{-\theta-\frac12}. \tag{2.28}$$

(iii) Modulation equations: *Assume*

$$\forall t \in [0,t_0], \quad x(t) > \frac23 t + \frac23 x_0. \tag{2.29}$$

Let $s_0 > 1$ and consider the rescaled time

$$s = s(t) = s_0 + \int_0^t \frac{dt'}{\lambda^3(t')} \quad \textit{or equivalently} \quad \frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{1}{\lambda^3}, \quad s(0) = s_0. \tag{2.30}$$

Then, on $[s_0, s(t_0)]$,

$$\left|\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}+b\right|+\left|\frac{x_s}{\lambda}-1\right| \lesssim \left(\int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y|}{10}}\right)^{\frac12}+b^2+p^2+\frac{\lambda}{x}|p|, \tag{2.31}$$

$$|b_s| \lesssim \int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y|}{10}}+|p|\left(\int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y|}{10}}\right)^{\frac12}+|b|^2+|b||p|+p^4+\frac{\lambda}{x}|p|, \tag{2.32}$$

$$\left|\frac{d}{ds}\left(\frac{b}{\lambda^2}+\frac{4}{(\int Q)}c_0\lambda^{-\frac32}x^{-\theta}\right)\right| \tag{2.33}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda^2}\left(|b|^3+|p|^3+(|b|+|p|)\left(\int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y|}{10}}\right)^{\frac12}+\int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y|}{10}}+\frac{\lambda^2}{x^2}|p|+\frac{\lambda}{x^3}|p|\right).$$

Remark 2.5. The bounds (2.31)-(2.33) will justify the dynamical system (1.17).

Proof. **step 1** Proof of (i). This is a standard modulation claim. As usual, the decomposition is first performed for a fixed time t. For $t \in [0,t_0]$ fixed, define the map

$$\Theta : (\overline{b},\overline{\lambda},\overline{x},w,z_0) \mapsto \left(\int Q\overline{\varepsilon}, \int \Lambda Q\overline{\varepsilon}, \int Q'\overline{\varepsilon}\right),$$

where $x_0 = 1/z_0$,

$$\overline{\varepsilon}(y) = \varepsilon_{(\overline{b},\overline{\lambda},\overline{x},w_1,z_0)}(y) = \overline{\lambda}^{\frac12} w_1\left(t,\overline{\lambda}y+\overline{x}\right) - \overline{\lambda}^{\frac12}\lambda_1^{\frac12}(t)q_0\left(t,x_1(t)+\overline{x}\right)Y_0(y) - Q_{\overline{b}}(y),$$

$$w_1(t,y) = \lambda_1(t)^{\frac12} w\left(t,\lambda_1(t)y+x_1(t)\right) = Q(y)+\varepsilon_1(t,y).$$

We have $\overline{\varepsilon}|_{(0,1,0,Q,0)} = 0$, so that $\Theta(0,1,0,Q,0)=0$ and

$$\partial_{\overline{b}}\overline{\varepsilon}|_{(0,1,0,Q,0)} = P, \quad \partial_{\overline{\lambda}}\overline{\varepsilon}|_{(0,1,0,Q,0)} = \Lambda Q, \quad \partial_{\overline{x}}\overline{\varepsilon}|_{(0,1,0,Q,0)} = Q'.$$

so that differentiating the map Θ with respect to the variables $(\overline{b},\overline{\lambda},\overline{x})$ at the point $(0,1,0,Q,0)$ we find the Jacobian matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} (P,Q) & (P,\Lambda Q) & (P,Q') \\ (\Lambda Q,Q) & (\Lambda Q,\Lambda Q) & (\Lambda Q,Q') \\ (Q',Q) & (Q',\lambda Q) & (Q',Q') \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (P,Q) & (P,\Lambda Q) & 0 \\ 0 & (\Lambda Q,\Lambda Q) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (Q',Q') \end{pmatrix},$$

which is not degenerate since $(P,Q)>0$. It follows from these observations that we can apply the implicit function theorem to Θ: for w_1 small and x_0 large, there exists a unique $(\overline{b},\overline{\lambda},\overline{x}) = (\overline{b},\overline{\lambda},\overline{x})(w_1,x_0)$ close to $(0,1,0)$ such that $\Theta(\overline{b},\overline{\lambda},\overline{x},w_1,\frac{1}{x_0})=0$. Then, we define $b(t)=\overline{b}(w_1(t),x_0)$, $\lambda(t)=\overline{\lambda}(w_1(t),x_0)\lambda_1(t)$, $x(t)=\overline{x}(w_1(t),x_0)+x_1(t)$ and $\varepsilon(t)=\overline{\varepsilon}(t)$. The regularity of $(b(t),\lambda(t),x(t))$ now follow from standard arguments. It follows that we have the following decomposition of $u(t,x)$:

$$u(t,x) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac12}(t)}\left(Q_{b(t)}+p(t)Y_0+\varepsilon\right)\left(t,\frac{x-x(t)}{\lambda(t)}\right)+q_0(t,x) \tag{2.34}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac12}(t)}\left(Q_{b(t)}+p(t)Y_0+\varepsilon+q\right)\left(t,\frac{x-x(t)}{\lambda(t)}\right). \tag{2.35}$$

step 2 Equation of ε and a priori bounds. To write the equation of ε, we first derive the equation of w from the equations of $u(t)$ and $q_0(t)$:

$$w_t+(w_{xx}+w^5)_x = -(W_0)_x, \tag{2.36}$$

where

$$W_0 = 5w^4q_0+10w^3q_0^2+10w^2q_0^3+5wq_0^4. \tag{2.37}$$

Second, set $\varepsilon_Y(s,y) = p(s)Y_0(y) + \varepsilon(s,y)$ so that

$$w(s,x) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(s)} \left(Q_{b(s)} + \varepsilon_Y\right) \left(s, \frac{x - x(s)}{\lambda(s)}\right).$$

By standard computations, we obtain for ε_Y:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_s \varepsilon_Y &= (-\partial_y^2 \varepsilon_Y + \varepsilon_Y - (\varepsilon_Y + Q_b)^5 + Q_b^5)_y - (5Q^4 q)_y + \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \Lambda \varepsilon_Y \\ &+ \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b\right) \Lambda Q_b + \left(\frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1\right) (Q_b + \varepsilon_Y)_y + \Phi_b + \Psi_b - W_y, \end{aligned} \tag{2.38}$$

where

$$W = 5(Q_b + \varepsilon_Y)^4 q - 5Q^4 q + 10(Q_b + \varepsilon_Y)^3 q^2 + 10(Q_b + \varepsilon_Y)^2 q^3 + 5(Q_b + \varepsilon_Y) q^4.$$

Finally, we replace $\varepsilon(s,y) = \varepsilon_Y(s,y) - p(s)Y_0(y)$ and use $LY_0 = 5Q^4$, to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_s \varepsilon &= \left(-\partial_y^2 \varepsilon + \varepsilon - (\varepsilon + pY_0 + Q_b)^5 + Q_b^5 + p5Q^4 Y_0\right)_y \\ &- p_s Y_0 + (5Q^4(p - q))_y + \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}(\Lambda \varepsilon + p \Lambda Y_0) + \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b\right) \Lambda Q_b \\ &+ \left(\frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1\right) (Q_b + \varepsilon + pY_0)_y + \Phi_b + \Psi_b - W_y, \end{aligned} \tag{2.39}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} W &= 5(Q_b + \varepsilon + pY_0)^4 q - 5Q^4 q + 10(Q_b + \varepsilon + pY_0)^3 q^2 \\ &+ 10(Q_b + \varepsilon + pY_0)^2 q^3 + 5(Q_b + \varepsilon + pY_0) q^4. \end{aligned}$$

We now claim the following bounds which we will be used along the proof:

Claim 1. (a) Estimates on $q(s)$.

$$\|q(s)\|_{L^2} \lesssim x_0^{-\theta + \frac{1}{2}}, \quad \|q_y(s)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \lambda(s) x_0^{-\theta - \frac{1}{2}}, \quad \|q(s)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(s) x_0^{-\theta}. \tag{2.40}$$

(b) Properties of the function $p(s)$:

$$\left|p(s) - c_0 \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(s) x^{-\theta}(s)\right| \lesssim c_0 \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(s) x^{-\theta - 2}(s) \lesssim x^{-2}(s)|p(s)|, \tag{2.41}$$

$$e^{-\frac{3|y|}{4}} |p(s) - q(s,y)| \lesssim \frac{\lambda(s)}{x(s)} |p(s)| e^{-\frac{|y|}{4}}, \tag{2.42}$$

$$\left|((5Q^4(p - q))_y, Q) - c_0 \left(\int Q\right) \theta \lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}} x^{-\theta - 1}\right| \lesssim \frac{\lambda^2(s)}{x^2(s)} |p(s)| + \frac{\lambda(s)}{x^3(s)} |p(s)|, \tag{2.43}$$

$$\left|p_s - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} p + \theta \frac{x_s}{x} p\right| \leq \frac{\lambda}{x^3} |p| \left(\left|\frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1\right| + 1\right), \tag{2.44}$$

$$|p_s| \lesssim \left|\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}\right| |p| + \frac{\lambda}{x} |p| \left(\left|\frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1\right| + 1\right). \tag{2.45}$$

(c) Estimates for the remainder term W: *Let*

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{W} &= 5(Q_b + \varepsilon + pY_0)^4 p - 5Q^4 p + 10(Q_b + \varepsilon + pY_0)^3 p^2 \\ &+ 10(Q_b + \varepsilon + pY_0)^2 p^3 + 5(Q_b + \varepsilon + pY_0) p^4. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\int |W - \widetilde{W}| e^{-\frac{3}{4}|y|} \lesssim \left(|p| + |b| + \left(\int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y|}{10}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \frac{\lambda}{x} |p|, \tag{2.46}$$

$$|((\widetilde{W})_y, \Lambda Q)| + |((\widetilde{W})_y, Q)| \lesssim b^2 + p^8 + |b||p| + |p| \left(\int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y|}{10}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y|}{10}}, \tag{2.47}$$

$$|((\widetilde{W})_y, y\Lambda Q)| \lesssim \int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y|}{10}} + b^2 + p^2. \tag{2.48}$$

Proof of Claim 1. Proof of (a). Since $q_0(t)$ is solution of (1.1), for all t,

$$\|q_0(t)\|_{L^2} = \|f_0\|_{L^2} \lesssim x_0^{-\theta+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad E(q_0(t)) = E(f_0) \lesssim x_0^{-\theta-\frac{1}{2}},$$

and (2.40) follows.

Proof of (b). Since $p(s) = \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(s) q_0(s, x(s))$, (2.41) follows from (2.29) and (2.17). In particular, since $c_0 < 0$, $p < 0$.

Next, by (2.29), (2.17) and (2.15), splitting the two cases $\lambda|y| < \frac{x(s)}{4}$ and $\lambda|y| > \frac{x(s)}{4}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&e^{-\frac{3|y|}{4}}|p(s) - q(s,y)| = e^{-\frac{3|y|}{4}}\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(s)|q_0(s,x(s)) - q_0(s,\lambda(s)y + x(s))| \\
&\lesssim |y|e^{-\frac{|y|}{2}}\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}(s)\left(e^{-\frac{|y|}{4}}\|q_0'(s)\|_{L^\infty(x>\frac{3}{4}x(s))} + e^{-\frac{x(s)}{16\lambda(s)}}\|q_0'(s)\|_{L^\infty}\right) \\
&\lesssim c_0 e^{-\frac{|y|}{4}}\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}(s)\left(x^{-\theta-1}(s) + e^{-\frac{x(s)}{16\lambda(s)}}x_0^{-\theta-1}\right) \\
&\lesssim c_0 e^{-\frac{|y|}{4}}\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}(s)x^{-\theta-1}(s) \lesssim \frac{\lambda}{x}|p|e^{-\frac{|y|}{4}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.49}$$

Next, by (2.29) and (2.17),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big((5Q^4(p-q))_y, Q\big) = -5\int Q^4 Q_y(p-q) = -\int Q^5 q_y \\
&= -\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}(s)\int Q^5(y)\partial_x q_0(s,\lambda(s)y + x(s))dy \\
&= -\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}} f_0'(x(s))\int Q^5 - \lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}\int Q^5\left(f_0'(\lambda y + x(s)) - f_0'(x(s))\right)dy \\
&- \lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}(s)\int Q^5(y)\left(\partial_x q_0(s,\lambda(s)y + x(s)) - f_0'(\lambda y + x(s))\right)dy \\
&= c_0\left(\int Q\right)\theta\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}(s)x^{-\theta-1}(s) + O\left(\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}e^{-\frac{5}{4}x(s)}\right) + O\left(\lambda^{\frac{5}{2}}x^{-\theta-2}\right) + O\left(\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}x^{-\theta-3}(s)\right) \\
&= c_0\left(\int Q\right)\theta\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}(s)x^{-\theta-1}(s) + O\left(\frac{\lambda^2}{x^2}|p|\right) + O\left(\frac{\lambda}{x^3}|p|\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have split the integrals above into $|y| > \frac{1}{4}x(s)$ and $|y| < \frac{1}{4}x(s)$ and using the fact that for $|y| < \frac{1}{4}x(s)$, $\lambda y + x(s) > x(s) - |y| > \frac{3}{4}x(s) > \frac{1}{2}t + \frac{1}{2}x_0$, so that (2.17) holds for $x = \lambda y + x(s)$, and (2.43) is proved.
Now, we prove (2.44). By explicit differentiation and Lemma 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
p_s - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}p &= \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(q_0)_s(s,x(s)) + \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}x_s\partial_x q_0(s,x(s)) \\
&= \lambda^{\frac{7}{2}}(q_0)_t(s,x(s)) + \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}x_s f_0'(x(s)) + O\left(\frac{\lambda}{x^3}|p|\left(\left|\frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1\right| + 1\right)\right) \\
&= -\theta\frac{x_s}{x}|p| + O\left(\frac{\lambda}{x^3}|p|\left(\left|\frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1\right| + 1\right)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $|x_s| \le \lambda(|\frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1| + 1)$, (2.45) follows.

Proof of (c). For (2.46), we first note

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{W}-W &= 5\left[(Q_b+\varepsilon+pY_0)^4-Q^4\right](p-q)+10(Q_b+\varepsilon+pY_0)^3(p^2-q^2)\\ &\quad +10(Q_b+\varepsilon+pY_0)^2(p^3-q^3)+5(Q_b+\varepsilon+pY_0)(p^4-q^4).\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int e^{-\frac34|y|}|W-\widetilde{W}|\\ &\lesssim (|p|+|b|)\int e^{-\frac34|y|}|p-q|+\left(\int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y|}{10}}\right)^{\frac12}\left(\int e^{-(\frac32-\frac1{10})|y|}|p-q|^2\right)^{\frac12}\\ &+\int e^{-\frac34|y|}|p^2-q^2|\lesssim\left(|p|+|b|+\left(\int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y|}{10}}\right)^{\frac12}\right)\frac{\lambda}{x}|p|,\end{aligned}$$

using (2.42), and the following similar estimate

$$e^{-\frac34|y|}|p^2-q^2|\lesssim \frac{\lambda}{x}p^2e^{-\frac{|y|}{4}}.$$

Next, (2.47) follows from the parity properties and then direct estimates. (2.48) follows from direct estimates. Note that p^2 appears in (2.48) because there is no cancellation due to parity for this term. This concludes the proof of Claim 1. □

step 3 Estimates induced by the conservation laws. By L^2 norm conservation,

$$\begin{aligned}\int u^2(0)-\int Q^2 &= \int Q_b^2-\int Q^2+\int(\varepsilon+pY_0+q)^2+2\int(\varepsilon+pY_0+q)Q_b\\ &= 2b(P,Q)+O(|b|^{2-\gamma})+\|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2+O(|b|^{1-\frac{\gamma}{2}}\|\varepsilon\|_{L^2})+O(|p|+\|q\|_{L^2}).\end{aligned}$$

Estimate (2.27) follows. By energy conservation, $Q''+Q^5=Q$ and $\int\varepsilon Q=0$,

$$\begin{aligned}&2\lambda^2E(u_0)=2E(Q_b+\varepsilon+pY_0+q)\\ &=2E(Q_b)-2\int(\varepsilon+pY_0+q)\left((Q_b-Q)_{yy}+(Q_b^5-Q^5)\right)-2\int(pY_0+q)Q\\ &+\int(\varepsilon+pY_0+q)_y^2-\frac13\int\left((Q_b+\varepsilon+pY_0+q)^6-Q_b^6-6Q_b^5(\varepsilon+pY+q)\right)\\ &=-2b(P,Q)+O(b^2)+O\left(|b|^{1+\frac32\gamma}\left(\|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}+|p|+\|q\|_{L^2}\right)\right)-2p\left(\int Y_0Q+\int Q\right)\\ &+2\int(p-q)Q+\|\varepsilon_y\|_{L^2}^2+O(p^2)+O\left(\|\varepsilon_y\|_{L^2}(|p|+\|q_y\|_{L^2})\right)+2\lambda^2E(q_0)\\ &-\frac13\int\left((Q_b+\varepsilon+pY_0+q)^6-Q_b^6-6Q_b^5(\varepsilon+pY_0+q)-q^6\right)\end{aligned}$$

By (2.2), we have $\int Y_0Q+\int Q=\frac14\int Q$. Using in addition (2.4), (2.42), (2.41), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{\lambda^2}\|\varepsilon_y\|_{L^2}^2 &\lesssim |E(u_0)|+\left|\frac14\frac{b}{\lambda^2}\int Q+\frac{p}{\lambda^2}\right|+\frac{b^2}{\lambda^2}+\frac{|b|^{1+\frac32\gamma}}{\lambda^2}\left(\|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}+|p|+\|q\|_{L^2}\right)\\ &\quad+\frac{|p|}{\lambda x}+\frac{p^2}{\lambda^2}+x_0^{-\theta-\frac12}\\ &\lesssim |E(u_0)|+\left|\frac14\frac{b}{\lambda^2}\int Q+c_0\lambda^{-\frac32}x^{-\theta}\right|+\frac{b^2}{\lambda^2}+\frac{|p|}{x^2}+\frac{|p|}{\lambda x}+\frac{p^2}{\lambda^2}+x_0^{-\theta-\frac12}.\end{aligned}$$

step 4 Modulation equations. We argue as in [38], proof of Lemma 2.7, differentiating with respect to s the orthogonality conditions $\int\varepsilon\Lambda Q=0$, $\int\varepsilon Q'=0$ and

$\int \varepsilon Q = 0$ and using (2.39) to obtain (2.31) and (2.32). Here, we will treat only the terms coming from q and pY_0 in (2.39) and we refer the reader to [38] for more details on the other terms.

Proof of (2.31) *and* (2.32). It follows from computations in [38] proof of Lemma 2.7 and Claim 1 that

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}+b\right)-\frac{(\varepsilon,L(\Lambda Q)')}{\|\Lambda Q\|_{L^2}^2}\right|\\&\lesssim\left(\left|\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}+b\right|+|b|\right)\left(|p|+|b|+\left(\int\varepsilon^2e^{-\frac{|y|}{10}}\right)^{\frac12}\right)+|p|\left(\int\varepsilon^2e^{-\frac{|y|}{10}}\right)^{\frac12}\\&+\left|\frac{x_s}{\lambda}-1\right|\left(|b|+\left(\int\varepsilon^2e^{-\frac{|y|}{10}}\right)^{\frac12}\right)+|p_s|+|b_s|+\int\varepsilon^2e^{-\frac{|y|}{10}}+p^8+\frac{\lambda}{x}|p|.\end{aligned}$$

We proceed similarly for $\frac{x_s}{\lambda}-1$, taking into account different cancellations due to parity properties

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\left(\frac{x_s}{\lambda}-1\right)-\frac{(\varepsilon,L(y\Lambda Q)')}{\|\Lambda Q\|_{L^2}^2}\right|\\&\lesssim\left(\left|\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}+b\right|+\left|\frac{x_s}{\lambda}-1\right|+|b|\right)\left(|p|+|b|+\left(\int\varepsilon^2e^{-\frac{|y|}{10}}\right)^{\frac12}\right)\\&+|b_s|+\int\varepsilon^2e^{-\frac{|y|}{10}}+p^2+\frac{\lambda}{x}|p|.\end{aligned}$$

Then, taking the scalar product of (2.39) by Q and arguing similarly, we have the following rough estimate for b_s:

$$\begin{aligned}|b_s|\lesssim&\left|\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}+b\right|^2+\left|\frac{x_s}{\lambda}-1\right|^2+|b|^2+\int\varepsilon^2e^{-\frac{|y|}{10}}+|p|\left(\int\varepsilon^2e^{-\frac{|y|}{10}}\right)^{\frac12}\\&+|p_s|+|b||p|+p^8+\frac{\lambda}{x}|p|.\end{aligned}$$

Combining these estimates with (from (2.44))

$$|p_s|\le\left|\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}\right||p|+\frac{\lambda}{x}|p|\left(\left|\frac{x_s}{\lambda}-1\right|+1\right)\le|b||p|+\left|\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}+b\right||p|+\frac{\lambda}{x}|p|\left(\left|\frac{x_s}{\lambda}-1\right|+1\right),$$

from (2.45), we obtain (2.31) and (2.32).

Proof of (2.33). First, we derive a refined equation for b_s, taking the scalar product of equation (2.39) by Q and proceeding as in [38], proof of Lemma 2.7.

Recall from [38],

$$(\Psi_b,Q)=-\frac{b^2}{8}\|Q\|_{L^1}^2+O(|b|^3),\quad(\Phi_b,Q)=-\frac{b_s}{16}\|Q\|_{L^1}^2+O(|b|^{10}).$$

Note also that from direct computations and parity properties

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\left(((\varepsilon+pY_0+Q_b)^5-Q_b^5-p5Q^4Y_0-5Q^4\varepsilon)_y,Q\right)-20bp((Q^3Y_0P)_y,Q)\right|\\&+\left|\left((\widetilde{W})_y,Q\right)-20bp((PQ^3)_y,Q)\right|\\&\lesssim|b|^3+|p|^3+\int\varepsilon^2e^{-\frac{|y|}{10}}+(|p|+|b|)\left(\int\varepsilon^2e^{-\frac{|y|}{10}}\right)^{\frac12}.\end{aligned}$$

(See [38] for details on the nonlinear terms in ε.) Using (2.43) and the above estimates we find

$$\Bigg| b_s + 2b^2 - \frac{16}{(\int Q)^2}\Big(- p_s(Y_0, Q) - \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} p(Y_0, \Lambda Q)$$

$$- bp\left[20((Q^3 Y_0 P)_y, Q) + 20((PQ^3)_y, Q)\right] + c_0\theta \left(\int Q\right) \lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}(s) x^{-\theta-1}(s)\Big)\Bigg| \quad (2.50)$$

$$\lesssim |b|^3 + |p|^3 + \int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y|}{10}} + (|p| + |b|)\left(\int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y|}{10}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{\lambda^2}{x^2}|p| + \frac{\lambda}{x^3}|p|. \quad (2.51)$$

We claim the following cancellation

$$- (Y_0, \Lambda Q) + 20((Q^3 Y_0 P)_y, Q) + 20((PQ^3)_y, Q) = 0. \quad (2.52)$$

Indeed, $L(P') = (LP)' + 20Q^3 Q' P = \Lambda Q + 20 Q^3 Q' P$, and so from (2.3),

$$\begin{aligned}
& - (Y_0, \Lambda Q) + 20((Q^3 Y_0 P)_y, Q) + 20((PQ^3)_y, Q) \\
& = -(Y_0, \Lambda Q) - 20(Y_0 + 1, PQ^3 Q') \\
& = -(Y_0 + 1, L(P')) + \int \Lambda Q = -(L(Y_0+1), P') - \frac{1}{2}\int Q \\
& = -\int P' - \frac{1}{2}\int Q = P(-\infty) - \frac{1}{2}\int Q = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\left| -\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} p(Y_0, \Lambda Q) + bp\left[20((Q^3 Y_0 P)_y, Q) + 20((PQ^3)_y, Q)\right]\right| = |p| \left|\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b\right| |(Y_0, \Lambda Q)|.$$

Now, from $(Y_0, Q) = -\frac{3}{4}\int Q$ (see (2.2)), using (2.41) and (2.44) we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{16}{(\int Q)^2}\Big(- p_s(Y_0, Q) + c_0\theta\left(\int Q\right) \lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}(s) x^{-\theta-1}(s)\Big) \\
& = \frac{12}{(\int Q)} c_0 \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} x^{-\theta}\left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + \frac{\theta}{3}\frac{x_s}{x}\right) + O\left(\frac{\lambda}{x^3}|p|\right) + O\left(\frac{\lambda}{x}|p|\left|\frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1\right|\right).
\end{aligned} \quad (2.53)$$

Therefore,

$$\left| b_s + 2b^2 - \frac{4}{(\int Q)} c_0 \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} x^{-\theta}\left(\frac{3}{2}\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + \theta\frac{x_s}{x}\right)\right|$$

$$\lesssim |b|^3 + |p|^3 + \int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y|}{10}} + (|p| + |b|)\left(\int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y|}{10}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{\lambda^2}{x^2}|p| + \frac{\lambda}{x^3}|p|. \quad (2.54)$$

Now, we prove (2.33). By direct computation,

$$\frac{d}{ds}\left(\frac{4}{(\int Q)} c_0 \theta \lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}}(s) x^{-\theta}\right) = -\frac{4}{(\int Q)} c_0 \lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}} x^{-\theta}\left(\frac{3}{2}\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + \theta\frac{x_s}{x}\right)$$

$$\frac{d}{ds}\left(\frac{b}{\lambda^2}\right) = \frac{b_s}{\lambda^2} - 2\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}\frac{b}{\lambda^2} = \frac{b_s}{\lambda^2} + 2\frac{b^2}{\lambda^2} - 2\left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b\right)\frac{b}{\lambda^2}.$$

and (2.33) follows from (2.54) and (2.31). □

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1 which follows from the modulation equations of Proposition 2.4 coupled with the control of the well localized error ε as in [38]. We present the new dynamical arguments and report the proofs of two technical Lemmas adapted from [38] to the Appendices.

3.1. **The bootstrap argument.**

Let

$$\beta=\frac{2(\theta-1)}{2\theta-1},\quad \theta=\frac{1-\frac{\beta}{2}}{1-\beta},\quad 0<\beta<\frac{11}{20},\quad 1<\theta<\frac{29}{18},$$

and define

$$c_0=-\frac{\int Q}{2}(\theta-1)(2\theta-1)^{\theta-1}. \tag{3.1}$$

Given $s>s_0$, $(b(s),\lambda(s),x(s))\in\mathbb{R}_+^*\times\mathbb{R}_+^*\times\mathbb{R}$, we define:

$$g(s)=\frac{b(s)}{\lambda^2(s)}+\frac{4}{\int Q}c_0\lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}}(s)x^{-\theta}(s),\quad f(s)=\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(s)+\frac{2}{\int Q}c_0\frac{1}{\theta-1}x^{-\theta+1}(s).$$

Let $(\varphi_i)_{i=1,2},\psi$ be smooth functions such that:

$$\varphi_i(y)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll} e^y & \text{for } \ y<-1,\\ 1+y & \text{for } \ -\frac{1}{2}<y<\frac{1}{2},\\ y^i & \text{for for } \ y>2,\end{array}\right.\quad \varphi_i'(y)>0,\ \ \forall y\in\mathbb{R}, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\psi(y)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll} e^{2y} & \text{for } \ y<-1,\\ 1 & \text{for } \ y>-\frac{1}{2},\end{array}\right.\quad \psi'(y)\geq 0\ \ \forall y\in\mathbb{R}. \tag{3.3}$$

Let $B>100$ and

$$\psi_B(y)=\psi\left(\frac{y}{B}\right),\quad \varphi_{i,B}=\varphi_i\left(\frac{y}{B}\right),\quad i=1,2,$$

and define the following norms on ε

$$\mathcal{N}_i(s)=\int\varepsilon_y^2(s,y)\psi_B(y)dy+\int\varepsilon^2(s,y)\varphi_{i,B}(y)dy, \tag{3.4}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{i,\mathrm{loc}}(s)=\int\varepsilon^2(s,y)\varphi_{i,B}'(y)dy,\quad i=1,2. \tag{3.5}$$

We now claim the following bootstrap Proposition which is the heart of the analysis:

Proposition 3.1 (Bootstrap). *Let $s_0=s_0(\beta)>1$ large enough and set*

$$x_0=x(s_0)=\frac{1}{(1-\beta)}s_0^{1-\beta}. \tag{3.6}$$

Let $\varepsilon_0\in H^1$ be such that

$$s_0^{10}\left[\int_{y>0}y^{10}\varepsilon_0^2(y)dy+\|\varepsilon_0\|_{H^1}^2\right]<1,\quad (\varepsilon_0,y\Lambda Q)=(\varepsilon_0,\Lambda Q)=(\varepsilon_0,Q)=0. \tag{3.7}$$

Then, there exists

$$(\lambda_0,b_0)\in\mathcal{D}=\left\{(\lambda,b)\ :\ |\lambda-s_0^{-\beta}|\leq s_0^{-\beta-\frac{1}{10}},\ |b-\beta s_0^{-1}|\leq s_0^{-1-\frac{1}{10}}\right\}, \tag{3.8}$$

such that the solution of (1.1) *with initial data*

$$u_0(x)=\frac{1}{\lambda_0^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left(Q_{b_0}+\lambda_0^{\frac{1}{2}}q_0(s_0,x_0)Y_0+\varepsilon_0\right)\left(\frac{x-x_0}{\lambda_0}\right)+q_0(s_0,x) \tag{3.9}$$

has a decomposition $(b(s),\lambda(s),x(s),\varepsilon(s))$ *as in Proposition 2.4 which satisfies*[2] *on* $[s_0,+\infty)$*:*

(BS1) $\left(|g(s)|s^{1-2\beta+\frac15}\right)^2+\left(|f(s)|s^{\frac{\beta}{2}+\frac{1}{10}}\right)^2\leq 1;$

(BS2) $|b(s)|\leq 10s^{-1},\ \frac{1}{10}s^{-\beta}\leq\lambda(s)\leq 10s^{-\beta},\ \frac{1}{10}s^{1-\beta}\leq(1-\beta)x(s)\leq 10s^{1-\beta};$

(BS3) $\int_{y>0}y^{10}\varepsilon^2(s,y)dy\leq 10\lambda^{-10},\quad \mathcal{N}_i(s)\leq s^{-\frac52},\quad \|\varepsilon(s)\|_{H^1}\lesssim\delta(\alpha^*).$

Moreover,

$$\left|\frac{(1-\beta)}{s^{1-\beta}}x(s)-1\right|+\left|s^\beta\lambda(s)-1\right|+\left|\frac{s}{\beta}b(s)-1\right|\lesssim s^{-\frac{1}{10}},\tag{3.10}$$

$$\lambda^{-1}\|\varepsilon_y(s)\|_{L^2}+\|\varepsilon(s)\|_{L^2}\lesssim 1.\tag{3.11}$$

Let us observe that (3.10) now gives the leading order behavior of the scaling parameter $\lambda(s)=\frac{1}{s^\beta}(1+o(1))$, and the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 now immediately follow from the change of variables (2.30) depending on the value of β as in step (iv) of section 1.4.

The rest of this section is therefore devoted to the proof of Proposition 3.1. First observe by uniqueness of the decomposition that

$$b_0=b(s_0),\quad \lambda(s_0)=\lambda_0\quad x(s_0)=x_0,\quad \varepsilon(s_0)=\varepsilon_0.$$

We now argue by contradiction, assuming that for all $(\lambda_0,b_0)\in\mathcal{D}$, we have

$$s^*(\lambda_0,b_0):=\sup\{s\geq s_0 \text{ such that (BS1)-(BS2)-(BS3) holds on } [s_0,s]\}<+\infty.$$

We will derive a contradiction by first closing the bootstrap bounds (BS1)-(BS2)-(BS3), and then finding a couple (λ_0,b_0) using a topological argument.

3.2. **First consequences of the bootstrap bounds.**

Let us start with some quantitative bounds which follow from the bootstrap bounds and Proposition 2.4.

Claim 2 (Consequences of the bootstrap estimates)**.** (i) *For* $s_0=s_0(\beta)$ *large enough, there holds:*

- *if* $\beta>\frac13$*, for all* $s\in(s_0,s^*)$*,* $t(s)=\int_{s_0}^s\lambda^3(s')ds'<1$*;*
- *if* $0<\beta\leq\frac13$*, for all* $t>0$*,* $x(t)\geq\frac23 t+\frac23 x_0$*.*

(ii) *For all* $s\in(s_0,s^*)$*,*

$$0<-p(s)\lesssim\frac1s,\quad \frac{\lambda}{x}\lesssim\frac1s,\tag{3.12}$$

$$\left|\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}+b\right|+\left|\frac{x_s}{\lambda}-1\right|\lesssim\left(\int\varepsilon^2e^{-\frac{|y|}{10}}\right)^{\frac12}+\frac{1}{s^2},\tag{3.13}$$

$$\left|\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}\right|\lesssim\frac1s+\left(\int\varepsilon^2e^{-\frac{|y|}{10}}\right)^{\frac12},\quad |x_s|\lesssim s^{-\beta}\left(1+\left(\int\varepsilon^2e^{-\frac{|y|}{10}}\right)^{\frac12}\right),\tag{3.14}$$

$$|b_s|+|p_s|\lesssim\int\varepsilon^2e^{-\frac{|y|}{10}}+\frac{1}{s^2},\tag{3.15}$$

---

[2] recall that $s=s(t)$ is the rescaled time (2.30).

$$\left|\frac{d}{ds}\left(\frac{b}{\lambda^2}+\frac{4}{(\int Q)}c_0\lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}}(s)x^{-\theta}(s)\right)\right| \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda^2}\left(\frac{1}{s^{\frac{29}{10}}}+\frac{1}{s}\left(\int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y|}{10}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+\int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y|}{10}}\right). \tag{3.16}$$

(iii) *For all* $s\in(s_0,s^*)$,

$$\|\varepsilon(s)\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \left|\int u^2(0)-\int Q^2\right| + s^{-1}+x_0^{-\theta+\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{3.17}$$

$$\frac{1}{\lambda^2}\|\varepsilon_y(s)\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim |E(u(0))| + s^{-\frac{1}{10}}+x_0^{-\theta-\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{3.18}$$

Proof. Let $\frac{1}{3}<\beta<\frac{11}{20}$. Then

$$t(s)=\int_{s_0}^{s}\lambda^3(s')ds' \le 10^3\int_{s_0}^{s}(s')^{-3\beta}ds' \le \frac{10^3}{3\beta-1}\left(s_0^{-3\beta+1}-s^{-3\beta+1}\right)<1$$

for s_0 large enough. For $\beta=\frac{1}{3}$,

$$t(s)=\int_{s_0}^{s}\lambda^3(s')ds' \le 10^3\log\frac{s}{s_0} \quad \text{so that} \quad s\ge s_0e^{10^{-3}t}.$$

Thus,

$$x(s)\ge \frac{3}{20}s^{\frac{2}{3}} \ge \frac{3}{20}s_0^{\frac{2}{3}}e^{\frac{2}{3}10^{-3}t}\ge 10t^3.$$

for s_0 large enough. Since $x(s)\ge\frac{4}{5}x_0$, we obtain $x(t)>t^3+\frac{1}{2}x_0$. Finally, for $0<\beta<\frac{1}{3}$,

$$t(s)=\int_{s_0}^{s}\lambda^3(s')ds' \le \frac{10^3}{1-3\beta}\left(s^{1-3\beta}-s_0^{1-3\beta}\right)$$

so that for s_0 large

$$s\ge\left(\frac{(1-3\beta)t}{10^3}+s_0^{1-3\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-3\beta}}\ge 100t^{\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}},$$

and

$$x(s)\ge\frac{3}{20}s^{1-\beta}\ge 15t^{1+\beta}.$$

Since $x(s)\ge\frac{4}{5}x_0$, we obtain $x(t)>t^{1+\beta}+\frac{2}{3}x_0$.
The estimate (3.12) is a consequence of (2.41) and $\frac{\beta}{2}+(1-\beta)\theta=1$, so that

$$0<p\lesssim\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}x^{-\theta}(s)\lesssim s^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}s^{-\theta(1-\beta)}\lesssim\frac{1}{s}.$$

The estimates (3.13)-(3.16) are immediate consequences of (2.31)-(2.33), (2.45), the bootstrap assumptions and the upper bound $\beta<\frac{11}{20}$. □

3.3. **Closing the estimates on ε.** We now close the bounds on ε and claim the improved bound: for all $s\in[s_0,s^*]$,

(BS3′) $\int_{y>0}y^{10}\varepsilon^2(s,x)dx\le5\lambda^{-10}$, $\mathcal{N}_i(s)\le\frac{1}{2}s^{-\frac{5}{2}}$, $\|\varepsilon(s)\|_{H^1}\lesssim\delta(\alpha^*)$.

Let φ_{10} be a smooth function such that

$$\varphi_{10}(y)=\begin{cases}0 & \text{for } y\le0,\\ y^{10} & \text{for } y\ge1\end{cases}, \quad 0\le\varphi_{10}\lesssim\varphi_{10}' \text{ for } 0<y<1.$$

The control of the tail of ε on the right is a direct consequence of the following brute force monotoncity formula:

Lemma 3.2 (Dynamical control of the tail on the right). *For all $s \in [s_0, s^*]$,*

$$\lambda^{-10}\frac{d}{ds}\left\{\lambda^{10}\int \varphi_{10}\varepsilon^2\right\} \lesssim \mathcal{N}_{1,\mathrm{loc}} + \frac{1}{s^2}. \tag{3.19}$$

See proof of Lemma 3.2 in Appendix B.

The control of $\mathcal{N}_i(\varepsilon)$ norm, which is fundamental for the proof, now follows by adapting the mixed Energy/Morawetz monotonicity formula first derived in [38]. Recall the definitions (3.2), (3.3), we claim:

Lemma 3.3 (Monotonicity formula). *There exist $\mu > 0$ such that the following holds for $B > 100$ large enough. Let the energy–virial Lyapounov functionals for $i = 1, 2$,*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_i = \int \Big[&\varepsilon_y^2\psi_B + \varepsilon^2\varphi_{i,B} \qquad\qquad (3.20)\\ &- \frac{1}{3}\left((\varepsilon{+}Q_b{+}pY_0{+}q)^6 - (Q_b{+}pY_0{+}q)^6 - 6\varepsilon\left(Q_b^5{+}q^5{+}5Q^4(pY_0{+}q)\right)\right)\psi_B\Big].\end{aligned}$$

Then the following estimates hold on $[s_0, s^*]$:
(i) Lyapounov control*: for $i = 1, 2$, $j \geq 0$*

$$\frac{d}{ds}\left[s^j\mathcal{F}_i\right] + \mu s^j\int\left(\varepsilon_y^2 + \varepsilon^2\right)\varphi_{i,B}' \lesssim s^{j-4} + s^{j-9+10\beta}. \tag{3.21}$$

(iii) Coercivity of $\mathcal{F}_i$ and pointwise bounds*: for $i = 1, 2$, $j \geq 0$,*

$$-\frac{1}{s^4} + \mathcal{N}_i \lesssim \mathcal{F}_i \lesssim \frac{1}{s^4} + \mathcal{N}_i. \tag{3.22}$$

See the proof of Lemma 3.3 in Appendix B.

Proof of (BS3'). From Lemma 3.2, (BS2) and (BS3),

$$\frac{d}{ds}\left\{\lambda^{10}\int\varphi_{10}\varepsilon^2\right\} \lesssim \lambda^{10}\left(\mathcal{N}_{1,\mathrm{loc}} + s^{-2}\right) \lesssim s^{-10\beta-2},$$

so that by integration on $[s_0, s]$, and (3.7),

$$\lambda^{10}(s)\int\varphi_{10}\varepsilon^2(s) \leq \lambda^{10}(s_0)\int\varphi_{10}\varepsilon^2(s_0) + Cs_0^{-1-10\beta} \leq 2.$$

By the properties of φ_{10} and (3.7), we obtain

$$\lambda^{10}(s)\int_{y>0} y^{10}\varepsilon^2(s,y)dy \leq 3. \tag{3.23}$$

Now, we apply Lemma 3.3 with $j = \frac{5}{2}$. We find by (3.21) and $\beta < \frac{11}{20}$,

$$\frac{d}{ds}\left[s^{\frac{5}{2}}\mathcal{F}_i\right] \lesssim s^{-\frac{3}{2}} + s^{-1-10(\frac{11}{20}-\beta)}. \tag{3.24}$$

The initial smallness (3.7) ensures

$$s_0^{10}|\mathcal{F}_i(0)| \lesssim 1$$

and thus the time integration of (3.24) on $[s_0, s^*]$ yields:

$$\mathcal{F}_i(s) \lesssim s^{-\frac{5}{2}}s_0^{-\delta}$$

for some $\delta = \delta(\beta) > 0$. Using (3.22), we conclude:

$$\mathcal{N}_i(s) \leq s^{-\frac{5}{2}}s_0^{-\delta} + s^{-4} \leq \frac{1}{2}s^{-\frac{5}{2}}$$

for s_0 large enough, which together with (3.23) and the control of the full H^1 norm through the conservation laws (2.27), (2.28) concludes the proof of (BS3').

3.4. Closing the estimates on (b, λ, x).

We now use the obtained bounds on ε and the modulation equations on the geometrical parameters of Proposition 2.4 to close the bounds on (b, λ, x). We claim: for all $s \in [s_0, s^*]$,

$$\text{(BS2}'\text{)} \quad |b(s)| \le 5s^{-1},\ \tfrac{1}{5}s^{-\beta} \le \lambda(s) \le 5s^{-\beta},\ \tfrac{1}{5}s^{1-\beta} \le (1-\beta)x(s) \le 5s^{1-\beta}.$$

Proof of (BS2'). First, note that from (2.33), (2.31), and using (BS2)-(BS3), $\frac{1}{3} \le \beta \le \frac{9}{10}$, we have on $[s_0, s^*]$:

$$|g_s| \lesssim s^{-\frac{9}{4}+2\beta}, \tag{3.25}$$

$$\left|\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b\right| \lesssim s^{-\frac{5}{4}}, \tag{3.26}$$

$$\left|\frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1\right| \lesssim s^{-\frac{5}{4}}. \tag{3.27}$$

By (BS1) and (BS2), we have using $(1-\beta)(\theta-1) = \frac{\beta}{2}$ the estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|\lambda(s) - \left(\frac{2}{\int Q}c_0\frac{1}{\theta-1}\right)^2 x^{-2\theta+2}(s)\right| \qquad (3.28)\\ &= \left|\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(s) + \frac{2}{\int Q}c_0\frac{1}{\theta-1}x^{-\theta+1}(s)\right|\left|\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(s) - \frac{2}{\int Q}c_0\frac{1}{\theta-1}x^{-\theta+1}(s)\right|\\ &\lesssim |f(s)|\left(\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(s) + x^{-\theta+1}(s)\right) \lesssim s^{-\frac{\beta}{2}-\frac{1}{10}}\left(s^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} + s^{-(1-\beta)(\theta-1)}\right) \lesssim s^{-\beta-\frac{1}{10}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.27), we find

$$\left|x_s(s) - \left(\frac{2}{\int Q}c_0\frac{1}{\theta-1}\right)^2 x^{-2\theta+2}(s)\right| \lesssim s^{-\beta-\frac{1}{10}} + s^{-\frac{5}{4}-\beta} \lesssim s^{-\beta-\frac{1}{10}}.$$

and hence

$$\left|\left(x^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}\right)_s - (1-\beta)^{-(1-\beta)}\right| \lesssim s^{-\frac{1}{10}}, \tag{3.29}$$

from $2\theta - 1 = \frac{1}{1-\beta}$ and the choice of c_0 in (3.1) which gives:

$$(1-\beta)^{-(1-\beta)} = (2\theta-1)\left(\frac{2}{\int Q}c_0\frac{1}{\theta-1}\right)^2.$$

Since from (3.6):

$$\frac{(1-\beta)}{s_0^{1-\beta}}x(s_0) = 1,$$

the time integration of (3.29) on $[s_0, s]$ yields

$$\left|x^{\frac{1}{\beta-1}}(s) - (1-\beta)^{-\frac{1}{\beta-1}}s\right| \lesssim s^{1-\frac{1}{10}}.$$

Thus,

$$\left|\frac{(1-\beta)}{s^{1-\beta}}x(s) - 1\right| \lesssim s^{-\frac{1}{10}}. \tag{3.30}$$

Inserting (3.30) into (3.28), we find for λ,

$$\left|s^{\beta}\lambda(s) - 1\right| \lesssim s^{-\frac{1}{10}}. \tag{3.31}$$

Finally, using $|g(s)| \leq s^{-1+2\beta-\frac{1}{5}}$, we find

$$\left|\frac{s}{\beta}b(s) - 1\right| \lesssim s^{-\frac{1}{10}}. \tag{3.32}$$

From (3.30), (3.31) and (3.32), (BS2') follows for s_0 large enough.

3.5. **Choice of λ_0 and b_0 by a topological argument.** We now claim from a standard topological argument based on the ougoing behavior of the ODE's for (f, g) that we can find $(b_0, \lambda_0) \in \mathcal{D}$ such that the remaining condition $(BS1)$ is closed. Indeed, let

$$G(s) = g(s)s^{1-2\beta+\frac{1}{5}}, \quad F(s) = f(s)s^{\frac{\beta}{2}+\frac{1}{10}},$$

and

$$H(s) = F^2(s) + G^2(s).$$

From (BS2') and (BS3'), since $s^* = s^*(x_0, b_0) < +\infty$, it follows from a standard continuity argument that at $s = s^* \geq s_0$,

$$H(s^*) = 1. \tag{3.33}$$

We first claim the strict outgoing behavior:

$$H'(s^*) \geq \frac{1}{20s^*}. \tag{3.34}$$

Proof of (3.34). Since

$$G'(s) = \left(1 - 2\beta + \frac{1}{5}\right) g(s)s^{-2\beta+\frac{1}{5}} + g'(s)s^{1-2\beta+\frac{1}{5}},$$

we have using (3.34):

$$G'(s^*) = \left(1 - 2\beta + \frac{1}{5}\right) \frac{G(s^*)}{s^*} + O\left((s^*)^{-(1+\frac{1}{20})}\right). \tag{3.35}$$

Similarly,

$$F'(s^*) = \left(\frac{\beta}{2} + \frac{1}{10}\right) f(s^*)(s^*)^{\frac{\beta}{2}+\frac{1}{10}-1} + f'(s^*)(s^*)^{\frac{\beta}{2}+\frac{1}{10}}.$$

We now estimate $f'(s)$. By direct computations and then (3.26), (3.27) and (BS1)-(BS2),

$$\begin{aligned}
f'(s) &= \frac{1}{2}\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{2}{\int Q}c_0 x_s x^{-\theta} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\lambda^{\frac{5}{2}}\left[\frac{b}{\lambda^2} - \frac{4}{\int Q}c_0\lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}}x^{-\theta}\right] + O\left(s^{-\frac{5}{4}-\frac{\beta}{2}}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\lambda^{\frac{5}{2}}g(s) + O\left(s^{-\frac{5}{4}-\frac{\beta}{2}}\right) = O\left(s^{-1-\frac{\beta}{2}-\frac{1}{5}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$F'(s^*) = \left(\frac{\beta}{2} + \frac{1}{10}\right) \frac{F(s^*)}{s^*} + O\left((s^*)^{-1-\frac{1}{10}}\right). \tag{3.36}$$

Therefore

$$H'(s^*) = 2F'(s^*)F(s^*) + 2G'(s^*)G(s^*) \geq \frac{1}{10}\frac{H(s^*)}{s^*} + O\left((s^*)^{-1-\frac{1}{20}}\right) \geq \frac{1}{20s^*},$$

for s_0 large enough.

By standard arguments (see e.g. the proof of Lemma 6 in [4]), the strict outgoing behavior (3.34) ensures that the map $(\lambda_0, b_0) \in \mathcal{D} \to s^*(x_0, b_0)$ is continuous. We define the continuous maps

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_0(F_0) &= s_0^{-\beta}\left(1 - F_0 s_0^{-\frac{1}{10}}\right)^2\\ b_0(F_0, G_0) &= \beta s_0^{-1+\frac{\beta}{2}} \lambda_0^{\frac12}(F_0)\left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta} G_0 s_0^{\frac32\beta - \frac15} \lambda_0^{\frac32}(F_0)\right)\\ &= \beta s_0^{-1}\left|1 - F_0 s_0^{-\frac{1}{10}}\right|\left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta} G_0 s_0^{-\frac15}\left|1 - F_0 s_0^{-\frac{1}{10}}\right|^3\right)\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}G_0 &= s_0^{1-2\beta+\frac15}\left(\frac{b_0}{\lambda_0^2} - \frac{4}{\int Q} c_0 \lambda_0^{-\frac32} x_0^{-\theta}\right)\\ F_0 &= s_0^{\frac{\beta}{2}+\frac{1}{10}}\left(\lambda_0^{\frac12} + \frac{2}{\int Q} c_0 \frac{1}{\theta - 1} x_0^{-\theta+1}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Now, consider the continous map

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M} \ :\ \ &\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^2} \to \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}^2},\\ &(F_0, G_0) \mapsto \left(F(s^*(\lambda_0(F_0), b_0(F_0, G_0))), G(s^*(\lambda_0(F_0), b_0(F_0, G_0)))\right).\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^2}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}^2}$ are, respectively, the ball and the sphere of $\mathbb{R}^2$ of radius 1. For $(F_0, G_0) \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}^2}$, we have $\mathcal{M}(F_0, G_0) = (F_0, G_0)$, in other words, $\mathcal{M}$ is the identity on the sphere $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}^2}$. The existence of such a continuous map $\mathcal{M}$ is in contradiction with Brouwer's fixed point theorem. Therefore, there exists λ_0 and b_0 such that

$$|\lambda_0 - s_0^{-\beta}| \le s_0^{-\beta-\frac{1}{10}}, \quad |b_0 - \beta s_0^{-1}| \le s_0^{-1-\frac{1}{10}}, \tag{3.37}$$

and $s^*(\lambda_0, b_0) = +\infty$. In particular (BS1)-(BS2)-(BS3) hold on $[s_0, +\infty)$. Finally, (3.30), (3.31) and (3.32) imply (3.10).

This concludes the proof of Proposition 3.1 and therefore also of Theorem 1.1.

Appendix A. Proof of Lemma 2.3

Recall that $c_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\theta > 1$ are fixed, $x_0 \gg 1$ is to be taken large enough and q_0 is the solution of

$$\partial_t q_0 + \partial_x(\partial_x^2 q_0 + q_0^5) = 0, \quad q_0(0, x) = f_0(x), \tag{A.1}$$

where the function f_0 is smooth and satisfies

$$f_0(x) = c_0 x^{-\theta} \text{ for } x > \tfrac{x_0}{2}, \quad f_0(x) = 0 \text{ for } x < \tfrac{x_0}{4}, \tag{A.2}$$

$$\text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ for all } k \ge 0, \quad \left|\frac{d^k f_0}{dx^k}(x)\right| \lesssim c_0 |x|^{-\theta-k}. \tag{A.3}$$

First, for x_0 large enough, $\|f_0\|_{L^2}$ is small and it follows from the L^2 and H^s Cauchy theory (Corollary 2.9 in [20]) that q_0 is global and bounded in H^s for all $s \ge 0$, with

$$\sup_t \|q_0(t)\|_{H^s} \lesssim \delta(x_0^{-1}).$$

We define

$$q_1(t, x) = q_0(t, x) - f_0(x),$$

$$\partial_t q_1 + \partial_x(\partial_x^2 q_1 + (q_1 + f_0)^5 - f_0^5) = F_0, \quad q_1(0, x) = 0, \tag{A.4}$$

where

$$F_0 = -\partial_x^3 f_0 - \partial_x(f_0^5).$$

For any $\overline{\theta} \geq 0$, define a smooth function $\varphi_{\overline{\theta}}$ such that

$$\varphi_{\overline{\theta}}(x) = x^{\overline{\theta}} \text{ for } x \geq 4, \quad \varphi_{\overline{\theta}}(x) = e^{\frac{x}{8}} \text{ for } x \leq 0, \quad \varphi' \geq 0, \ \varphi''' \leq \frac{1}{4}\varphi' \text{ on } \mathbb{R}. \tag{A.5}$$

For

$$0 \leq \theta_1 < 2\theta + 4, \quad \theta_1 \neq 2\theta + 3,$$

set

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\theta_1}(t) &= \int q_1^2(t)\varphi_{\theta_1}\left(x - \frac{t}{4} - \frac{x_0}{4}\right) dx, \\ E_{\theta_1}(t) &= \int \left((\partial_x q_1)^2(t) - \frac{1}{3}\left((q_1+f_0)^6 - f_0^6 - 6q_1 f_0^5\right)\right)\varphi_{\theta_1+2}\left(x - \frac{t}{4} - \frac{x_0}{4}\right) dx, \\ F_{\theta_1,k}(t) &= \int (\partial_x^k q_1)^2(t)\varphi_{\theta_1+2k}\left(x - \frac{t}{4} - \frac{x_0}{4}\right) dx, \ k \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

We differentiate $M_{\theta_1}(t)$ (omitting the variable $x - \frac{t}{4} - \frac{x_0}{4}$ for the function φ_{θ_1}):

$$\begin{aligned} M'_{\theta_1}(t) &= -3\int (\partial_x q_1)^2 \varphi'_{\theta_1} - \frac{1}{4}\int q_1^2 \varphi'_{\theta_1} + \int q_1^2 \varphi'''_{\theta_1} \\ &\quad + 2\int \left((q_1+f_0)^5 - f_0^5\right)(q_1\varphi_{\theta_1})_x + 2\left|\int F_0 q_1 \varphi_{\theta_1}\right| \\ &\leq -3\int (\partial_x q_1)^2 \varphi'_{\theta_1} - \frac{3}{16}\int q_1^2 \varphi'_{\theta_1} - 2\int \left[\frac{(q_1+f_0)^6}{6} - \frac{f_0^6}{6} - (q_1+f_0)^5 q_1\right]\varphi'_{\theta_1} \\ &\quad + 2\int \left[(q_1+f_0)^5 - 5q_1^4 f_0 - f_0^5\right] f_0' \varphi_{\theta_1} + 2\left|\int F_0^2 \frac{\varphi_{\theta_1}^2}{\varphi'_{\theta_1}}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left|\int q_1^2 \varphi'_{\theta_1}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq -3\int (\partial_x q_1)^2 \varphi'_{\theta_1} - \frac{1}{8}\int q_1^2 \varphi'_{\theta_1} + \delta(x_0^{-1})\int q_1^2 \varphi'_{\theta_1} + C\int F_0^2 \frac{\varphi_{\theta_1}^2}{\varphi'_{\theta_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for x_0 large enough, we have obtained

$$M'_{\theta_1}(t) + \frac{1}{10}\int \left[(\partial_x q_1)^2 + q_1^2\right]\varphi'_{\theta_1} \lesssim \int F_0^2 \frac{\varphi_{\theta_1}^2}{\varphi'_{\theta_1}}.$$

For x_0 large enough,

$$\begin{aligned} \int F_0^2 \frac{\varphi_{\theta_1}^2}{\varphi'_{\theta_1}}\left(x - \frac{t}{4} - \frac{x_0}{4}\right) &\lesssim \int_{x > \frac{t}{4} + \frac{x_0}{4} + 4} x^{-2(\theta+3)}\left(x - \frac{t}{4} - \frac{x_0}{4}\right)^{\theta_1+1} \\ &\quad + \int_{\frac{t}{8} + \frac{x_0}{8} < x < \frac{t}{4} + \frac{x_0}{4} + 4} x^{-2(\theta+3)} + \int_{x < \frac{t}{8} + \frac{x_0}{8}} e^{\frac{1}{8}(x - \frac{t}{4} - \frac{x_0}{4})} \\ &\lesssim (t + x_0)^{\theta_1 - 2\theta - 4}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

Therefore, using also $M_{\theta_1}(0) = 0$, we find by integration:

$$M_{\theta_1}(t) + \int_0^t \int \left[(\partial_x q_1)^2 + q_1^2\right]\varphi'_{\theta_1} \lesssim \begin{cases} (t + x_0)^{\theta_1 - 2\theta - 3} & \text{if } 0 < \theta_1 - 2\theta - 3 < 1 \\ x_0^{\theta_1 - 2\theta - 3} & \text{if } \theta_1 - 2\theta - 3 < 0 \end{cases} \tag{A.7}$$

We argue similarly for $E_{\theta_1}(t)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
E'_{\theta_1}(t) &= 2\int \partial_t q_1 \left[-\partial_x^2 q_1 - \left((q_1+f_0)^5 - f_0^5\right)\right] \varphi_{\theta_1+2} \\
&\quad - 2\int \partial_t q_1 \partial_x q_1 \varphi'_{\theta_1+2} - \frac{1}{4}\int \left[(\partial_x q_1)^2(t) - \frac{1}{3}\left((q_1+f_0)^6 - f_0^6 - 6q_1 f_0^5\right)\right] \varphi'_{\theta_1+2} \\
&= -\int \left[\partial_x^2 q_1 + \left((q_1+f_0)^5 - f_0^5\right)\right]^2 \varphi'_{\theta_1+2} \\
&\quad - 2\int \left[\partial_x^2 q_1 + (q_1+f_0)^5 - f_0^5\right] F_0 \varphi_{\theta_1+2} \\
&\quad + 2\int \left[\partial_x^2 q_1 + (q_1+f_0)^5 - f_0^5\right]_x \partial_x q_1 \varphi'_{\theta_1+2} - 2\int F_0 \partial_x q_1 \varphi'_{\theta_1+2} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4}\int \left[(\partial_x q_1)^2(t) - \frac{1}{3}\left((q_1+f_0)^6 - f_0^6 - 6q_1 f_0^5\right)\right] \varphi'_{\theta_1+2}.
\end{aligned}$$

We use the following computations and estimates

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int \left[\partial_x^2 q_1 + (q_1+f_0)^5 - f_0^5\right] F_0 \varphi_{\theta_1+2}\right| \\
&\lesssim \left|\int \partial_x q_1 (F_0 \varphi_{\theta_1+2})_x\right| + \left|\int \left[(q_1+f_0)^5 - f_0^5\right] F_0 \varphi_{\theta_1+2}\right| \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{100}\int (\partial_x q_1)^2 \varphi'_{\theta_1+2} + \frac{1}{100}\int q_1^2 \varphi'_{\theta_1} \\
&\quad + C\int \left(|\partial_x F_0|^2 \frac{\varphi^2_{\theta_1+2}}{\varphi'_{\theta_1+2}} + |F_0|^2 \varphi'_{\theta_1+2} + |F_0|^2 \frac{f_0^8 \varphi^2_{\theta_1+2}}{\varphi'_{\theta_1}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
2\int \partial_x^3 q_1 \partial_x q_1 \varphi'_{\theta_1+2} &= -2\int (\partial_x^2 q_1)^2 \varphi'_{\theta_1+2} + \int (\partial_x q_1)^2 \varphi'''_{\theta_1+2} \\
&\le -2\int (\partial_x^2 q_1)^2 \varphi'_{\theta_1+2} + \frac{1}{4}\int (\partial_x q_1)^2 \varphi'_{\theta_1+2}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|2\int \left[(q_1+f_0)^5 - f_0^5\right]_x \partial_x q_1 \varphi'_{\theta_1+2}\right| \\
&= \left|10\int (\partial_x q_1)^2 (q_1+f_0)^4 \varphi'_{\theta_1+2} + 10\int \left((q_1+f_0)^4 - f_0^4\right) \partial_x f_0 \partial_x q_1 \varphi'_{\theta_1+2}\right| \\
&\le \frac{1}{100}\int (\partial_x q_1)^2 \varphi'_{\theta_1+1} + C\int q_1^2 \varphi'_{\theta_1}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$2\left|\int F_0 \partial_x q_1 \varphi'_{\theta_1+2}\right| \le \frac{1}{100}\int (\partial_x q_1)^2 \varphi'_{\theta_1+1} + C\int |F_0|^2 \varphi'_{\theta_1+2}.$$

Combining these estimates, and using the expression of F_0 as in (A.6), we find

$$E'_{\theta_1}(t) \le -\int (\partial_x^2 q_1)^2 \varphi'_{\theta_1+2} - \frac{1}{10}\int (\partial_x q_1)^2 \varphi'_{\theta_1+2} + C\int q_1^2 \varphi'_{\theta_1} + C(t+x_0)^{\theta_1-2\theta-4}.$$

By integration, and using (A.7),

$$\begin{aligned}
&E_{\theta_1}(t) + \frac{1}{10}\int_0^t \int \left[(\partial_x^2 q_1)^2 + (\partial_x q_1)^2\right] \varphi'_{\theta_1+2} \\
&\lesssim \begin{cases} (t+x_0)^{\theta_1-2\theta-3} & \text{if } 0<\theta_1-2\theta-3<1 \\ x_0^{\theta_1-2\theta-3} & \text{if } \theta_1-2\theta-3<0 \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.8}$$

We look for an estimate on $\partial_x q_1(t)$ from the above estimate on $E_{\theta_1}(t)$. Note first that

$$\begin{aligned}\|q_1^2\sqrt{\varphi_{\theta_1+2}}\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \int_x^{+\infty} |q_1||\partial_x q_1|\sqrt{\varphi_{\theta_1+2}} + \int_x^{+\infty} |q_1|^2 \frac{\varphi'_{\theta_1+2}}{\sqrt{\varphi_{\theta_1+2}}}\\ &\lesssim \left(\int q_1^2\right)^{\frac12}\left(\int |\partial_x q_1|^2\varphi_{\theta_1+2} + \int |q_1|^2\varphi_{\theta_1}\right)^{\frac12},\end{aligned} \tag{A.9}$$

so that

$$\int q_1^6\varphi_{\theta_1+2} \le \|q_1^2\sqrt{\varphi_{\theta_1+2}}\|^2_{L^\infty}\int q_1^2 \lesssim \left(\int q_1^2\right)^2\left(\int |\partial_x q_1|^2\varphi_{\theta_1+2} + M_{\theta_1}(t)\right).$$

Also,

$$\int q_1^2 f_0^4\varphi_{\theta_1+2} \lesssim \int q_1^2\varphi_{\theta_1} = M_{\theta_1}(t).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}E_{\theta_1}(t) &\ge \int(\partial_x q_1)^2\varphi_{\theta_1+2} - C\int(q_1^6+q_1^2f_0^4)\varphi_{\theta_1+2}\\ &\ge \frac12\int(\partial_x q_1)^2\varphi_{\theta_1+2} - CM_{\theta_1}(t),\end{aligned} \tag{A.10}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}&\int(\partial_x q_1)^2\varphi_{\theta_1+2} + \int_0^t\int\left[(\partial_x^2 q_1)^2 + (\partial_x q_1)^2\right]\varphi'_{\theta_1+2}\\ &\lesssim \begin{cases}(t+x_0)^{\theta_1-2\theta-3} & \text{if } 0<\theta_1-2\theta-3<1\\ x_0^{\theta_1-2\theta-3} & \text{if } \theta_1-2\theta-3<0\end{cases}\end{aligned} \tag{A.11}$$

Note also that for any x,

$$\begin{aligned}q_1^2(t,x)\varphi_{\theta_1+1}\left(x-\frac t4-\frac{x_0}{4}\right) &\lesssim \int_x^{+\infty}|q_1||\partial_x q_1|\varphi_{\theta_1+1} + \int_x^{+\infty}|q_1|^2\varphi'_{\theta_1+1}\\ &\lesssim \int|\partial_x q_1|^2\varphi_{\theta_1+2} + \int|q_1|^2\varphi_{\theta_1},\end{aligned} \tag{A.12}$$

and, with $\theta_1 = 2\theta + \frac{15}{4}$, using the properties of φ_{θ_1+1}, for $x > \frac12(t+x_0)$,

$$|q_1(t,x)| \lesssim x^{-(\frac{\theta_1}{2}+\frac12)}t^{\frac38} = x^{-(\theta+\frac{19}{8})}t^{\frac38} \lesssim x^{-(\theta+2)}. \tag{A.13}$$

Finally, we briefly treat the case of higher order derivatives. We use an induction argument, assuming at the rank k that for all $1\le k'<k$, for all x, t

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^t\int(\partial_x^{k'}q_1)^2(t)\varphi'_{\theta_1+2k'}\left(x-\frac t4-\frac{x_0}{4}\right)dt + \int(\partial_x^{k'}q_1(t))^2\varphi_{\theta_1+2k'}\left(x-\frac t4-\frac{x_0}{4}\right)\\ &+|\partial_x^{k'-1}q_1(t)|^2\varphi_{\theta_1+2k'-1}\left(x-\frac t4-\frac{x_0}{4}\right) \lesssim \begin{cases}(t+x_0)^{\theta_1-2\theta-3} & \text{if } 0<\theta_1-2\theta-3<1\\ x_0^{\theta_1-2\theta-3} & \text{if } \theta_1-2\theta-3<0\end{cases}\end{aligned} \tag{A.14}$$

we prove the same estimates for $k'=k$ using $F_{\theta_1,k}$.

Indeed, by simple computation:

$$\begin{aligned}F'_{\theta_1,k}(t) &= 2\int(\partial_x^k q_1)_t(\partial_x^k q_1)\varphi_{\theta_1+2k} - \frac{1}{2}\int(\partial_x^k q_1)^2\varphi'_{\theta_1+2k}\\
&= -2\int(\partial_x^{k+3}q_1)(\partial_x^k q_1)\varphi_{\theta_1+2k} - 2\int\partial_x^{k+1}\left((q_1+f_0)^5-f_0^5\right)\partial_x^k q_1\varphi_{\theta_1+2k}\\
&\quad -\frac{1}{2}\int(\partial_x^k q_1)^2\varphi'_{\theta_1+2k} + \int(\partial_x^k F_0)(\partial_x^k q_1)\varphi_{\theta_1+2k}\\
&\leq -3\int(\partial_x^{k+1}q_1)^2\varphi'_{\theta_1+2k} - \frac{1}{4}\int(\partial_x^k q_1)^2\varphi'_{\theta_1+2k}\\
&\quad + 2\int\partial_x^k\left((q_1+f_0)^5-f_0^5\right)\left((\partial_x^{k+1}q_1)\varphi_{\theta_1+2k}+(\partial_x^k q_1)\varphi'_{\theta_1+2k}\right)\\
&\quad + \int(\partial_x^k F_0)(\partial_x^k q_1)\varphi_{\theta_1+2k}.\end{aligned}$$

We claim, arguing as (A.6),

$$\left|\int(\partial_x^k F_0)(\partial_x^k q_1)\varphi_{\theta_1+2k}\right| \leq \frac{1}{100}\int(\partial_x^k q_1)^2\varphi'_{\theta_1+2k} + Ct^{\theta_1-2\theta-4}.$$

Next, we claim

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int\partial_x^k\left((q_1+f_0)^5-f_0^5\right)\left((\partial_x^{k+1}q_1)\varphi_{\theta_1+2k}+(\partial_x^k q_1)\varphi'_{\theta_1+2k}\right)\right|\\
&\leq \frac{1}{100}\int(\partial_x^{k+1}q_1)\varphi'_{\theta_1+2k} + \frac{1}{100}\int(\partial_x^k q_1)\varphi'_{\theta_1+2k} + \sum_{k'=0}^{k-1}\int(\partial_x^{k'}q_1)^2\varphi'_{\theta_1+2k'}.\end{aligned} \tag{A.15}$$

Indeed, looking for example at the purely nonlinear term in q_1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int\partial_x^k(q_1^5)(\partial_x^{k+1}q_1)\varphi_{\theta_1+2k}\right| + \left|\int\partial_x^k(q_1^5)(\partial_x^k q_1)\varphi'_{\theta_1+2k}\right|\\
&\leq C\int\left(\partial_x^k(q_1^5)\right)^2\frac{\varphi^2_{\theta_1+2k}}{\varphi'_{\theta_1+2k}} + \frac{1}{200}\int(\partial_x^k q_1)^2\varphi'_{\theta_1+2k} + \frac{1}{200}\int(\partial_x^{k+1}q_1)^2\varphi'_{\theta_1+2k},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\left(\partial_x^k(q_1^5)\right)^2\frac{\varphi^2_{\theta_1+2k}}{\varphi'_{\theta_1+2k}} \lesssim \int\left(\partial_x^k(q_1^5)\right)^2\varphi_{\theta_1+2k_1+2k_2+2k_3+2k_4+2k_5+1}\\
&\lesssim \sum_{\substack{k_1+k_2+k_3+k_4+k_5=k\\ k_5\geq k_4\geq k_3\geq k_2\geq k_1}}\left(\Pi_{l=1}^3\|(\partial_x^{k_l}q_1)^2\varphi_{2k_l+2}\|_{L^\infty}\right)\|(\partial_x^{k_4}q_1)^2\varphi_{2k_4-2}\|_{L^\infty}\int|\partial_x^{k_5}q_1|^2\varphi'_{\theta_1+2k_5-1}\\
&\lesssim \delta(x_0^{-1})\sum_{k'=0}^{k}\int(\partial_x^{k'}q_1)^2\varphi'_{\theta_1+2k'-1}.\end{aligned}$$

where the L^∞ norms above are estimated using (A.14). The other terms, all containing f_0, are similar and easier.

By integration of F'_k using (A.15) and (A.14), we obtain

$$F_{\theta_1,k}(t) + \int_0^t\int(\partial_x^{k+1}q_1)^2\varphi'_{\theta_1+2k} \lesssim \begin{cases}(t+x_0)^{\theta_1-2\theta-3} & \text{if } 0<\theta_1-2\theta-3<1\\ x_0^{\theta_1-2\theta-3} & \text{if } \theta_1-2\theta-3<0\end{cases}$$

Arguing as in the proof of (A.12), we prove (A.14) for $k' = k$. The induction argument being complete, we finish the proof as in (A.13).

Appendix B. Proof of monotonicity results on ε

B.1. **Proof of Lemma 3.2.** We compute from (2.39):

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{ds}\int \varphi_{10}\varepsilon^2 = \int \varepsilon_s\varepsilon\varphi_{10}$$

$$= \int \varphi_{10}\varepsilon\Big[\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}\Lambda\varepsilon + \big(-\varepsilon_{yy} + \varepsilon - (\varepsilon + pY_0 + Q_b)^5 + Q_b^5 + p5Q^4Y_0\big)_y$$

$$- p_sY_0 + (5Q^4(p-q))_y + \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}p\Lambda Y_0 + \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b\right)\Lambda Q_b + \left(\frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1\right)(Q_b + \varepsilon + pY_0)_y$$

$$+ \Phi_b + \Psi_b - W_y\Big].$$

We integrate by parts the linear term and use $y\varphi_{10}' = 10\varphi_{10}$ for $y \geq 1$ and $\varphi_{10}''' \ll \varphi_{10}'$ for y large enough to derive the bound

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int \varphi_{10}\varepsilon\left[\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}\Lambda\varepsilon + (-\varepsilon_{yy} + \varepsilon)_y\right]\\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}\int y\varphi_{10}'\varepsilon^2 - \frac{3}{2}\int \varphi_{10}'\varepsilon_y^2 - \frac{1}{2}\int \varphi_{10}'\varepsilon^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int \varphi_{10}'''\varepsilon^2\\
&\leq -\frac{10}{2}\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}\int \varphi_{10}\varepsilon^2 - \frac{1}{4}\int \varphi_{10}'(\varepsilon_y^2 + \varepsilon^2) + C\mathcal{N}_{1,\mathrm{loc}}.
\end{aligned}$$

By integration by parts in the nonlinear term, we can remove all derivatives on ε to obtain (using $|Q_b| + |(Q_b)_y| \leq Ce^{-\frac{1}{2}y}$ for $y > 0$)

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\int \varphi_{10}\varepsilon\left[(\varepsilon + Q_b)^5 - Q_b^5\right]_y\right| &\lesssim \int_{y>0} \varphi_{10}e^{-\frac{1}{2}y}\varepsilon^2(|\varepsilon|^3 + 1) + \int \varphi_{10}'\varepsilon^6\\
&\lesssim \int_{y>0} e^{-\frac{1}{4}y}\varepsilon^2(|\varepsilon|^3 + 1) + \int \varphi_{10}'\varepsilon^6
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by standard Sobolev estimates,

$$\left|\int \varphi_{10}\varepsilon\left[(\varepsilon + Q_b)^5 - Q_b^5\right]_y\right| \lesssim \mathcal{N}_{1,\mathrm{loc}} + \delta(\alpha^*)\int \varphi_{10}'(\varepsilon_y^2 + \varepsilon^2).$$

Next, by the bootstrap estimates,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int \varphi_{10}\varepsilon\left[(\varepsilon + Q_b + pY_0)^5 - 5pQ^4Y_0 - (\varepsilon + Q_b)^5\right]_y\right|\\
&\lesssim \int_{y>10} \varepsilon e^{-\frac{1}{2}y}\left(p^2 + |b||p| + |p|(|\varepsilon| + |\varepsilon|^5)\right) \lesssim \mathcal{N}_{1,\mathrm{loc}} + \frac{1}{s^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.15) and $Y_0 \in \mathcal{Y}$,

$$\left|p_s\int Y_0\varphi_{10}\varepsilon\right| \lesssim \mathcal{N}_{1,\mathrm{loc}} + \frac{1}{s^2}.$$

By (2.42) and (3.12),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int 5(Q^4(p-q))_y\varepsilon\varphi_{10}\right| = \left|\int 5(p-q)e^{-4|y|}(\varepsilon\varphi_{10})_y\right|\\
&\leq C\frac{1}{s^2}\int (|\varepsilon_y| + |\varepsilon|)e^{-|y|}\varphi_{10} \leq \frac{1}{100}\int \varepsilon_y^2\varphi_{10}' + C\mathcal{N}_{1,\mathrm{loc}} + \frac{C}{s^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.14),

$$\left|\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}p\int \Lambda Y_0\varphi_{10}\varepsilon\right| \lesssim \mathcal{N}_{1,\mathrm{loc}} + \frac{1}{s^2}.$$

The terms involving the geometrical parameters are controlled from the exponential localization of Q_b on the right and (3.13)–(3.14):

$$\left|\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}+b\right|\left|\int \varphi_{10}\varepsilon(\Lambda Q_b)\right| \lesssim \left(\frac{1}{s^2}+\mathcal{N}_{1,\mathrm{loc}}^{\frac12}\right)\mathcal{N}_{i,\mathrm{loc}}^{\frac12} \lesssim \mathcal{N}_{1,\mathrm{loc}}+\frac{1}{s^2},$$

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\frac{x_s}{\lambda}-1\right|\left|\int \varphi_{10}\varepsilon(Q_b+\varepsilon+pY_0)_y\right| &\lesssim \left(\frac{1}{s^2}+\mathcal{N}_{1,\mathrm{loc}}^{\frac12}\right)\left[\mathcal{N}_{1,\mathrm{loc}}^{\frac12}+\int \varphi_{10}'\varepsilon^2\right]\\ &\lesssim \mathcal{N}_{1,\mathrm{loc}}+\frac{1}{s^2}+\delta(\alpha^*)\int \varphi_{10}'\varepsilon^2,\end{aligned}$$

$$\int |\varphi_{10}\varepsilon\Phi_b| \lesssim |b_s|\mathcal{N}_{1,\mathrm{loc}}^{\frac12} \lesssim \frac{1}{s^2}+\mathcal{N}_{1,\mathrm{loc}}.$$

We control similarily the interaction with the error from (2.10):

$$\int |\varphi_{10}\varepsilon\Psi_b| \lesssim \frac{1}{s^2}\mathcal{N}_{1,\mathrm{loc}}^{\frac12} \lesssim \frac{1}{s^2}+\mathcal{N}_{1,\mathrm{loc}}.$$

Finally, we claim

$$\left|\int \varphi_{10}\varepsilon W_y\right| \le C\mathcal{N}_{1,\mathrm{loc}}+\frac{C}{s^2}+\frac{1}{50}\int \varepsilon^2\varphi_{10}' \tag{B.1}$$

We only treat the first term in W, the other terms are similar and easier. First, integrating by parts, we remove the derivative from ε to obtain derivative on q, φ_{10}. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}&-\int \left[5(Q_b+\varepsilon+pY_0)^4q-5Q^4q\right]_y\varepsilon\varphi_{10}=\int \left[5(Q_b+\varepsilon+pY_0)^4q-5Q^4q\right](\varepsilon\varphi_{10})_y\\ &=\int\Bigg\{\left[(Q_b+\varepsilon+pY_0)^5-(Q_b+pY_0)^5-5Q^4\varepsilon\right]_y\\ &-5(Q_b+pY_0)_y\left[(Q_b+\varepsilon+pY_0)^4-(Q_b+pY_0)^4\right]+20Q^3Q_y\varepsilon\Bigg\}q\varphi_{10}\\ &+5\int\left[(Q_b+\varepsilon+pY_0)^4-Q^4\right]\varepsilon q\varphi_{10}'\\ &=-\int\left[(Q_b+\varepsilon+pY_0)^5-(Q_b+pY_0)^5-5Q^4\varepsilon\right](q_y\varphi_{10}+q\varphi_{10}')\\ &-5\int\left\{(Q_b+pY_0)_y\left[(Q_b+\varepsilon+pY_0)^4-(Q_b+pY_0)^4\right]-4Q^3Q_y\varepsilon\right\}q\varphi_{10}\\ &+5\int\left[(Q_b+\varepsilon+pY_0)^4-Q^4\right]\varepsilon q\varphi_{10}'.\end{aligned}$$

From the above expression, we obtain for s large enough (using $\|\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty}\lesssim\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}\lesssim\delta(\alpha^*)$ and $\|q\|_{L^\infty(y>0)}\lesssim\frac{1}{s}$)

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int\left[5(Q_b+\varepsilon+pY_0)^4q-5Q^4q\right]_y\varepsilon\varphi_{10}\right|\\ &\lesssim\int(|q|+|q_y|)e^{-\frac{|y|}{2}}(|\varepsilon|+|p|+|b|)|\varepsilon|+\int(|q_y|\varphi_{10}+|q|\varphi_{10}')|\varepsilon|^5\\ &\le C\mathcal{N}_{1,\mathrm{loc}}+\frac{C}{s^2}+\frac{1}{100}\int\varepsilon^2\varphi_{10}'+\delta(\alpha^*)\int|\varepsilon|^2|q_y|\varphi_{10}.\end{aligned}$$

To control the last term above, we use $q_y(t,y) = \lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}\partial_x q_0(t,\lambda y + x(t))$ so that $|q_y(t,y)| \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}(\lambda y + x(t))^{-\theta-1} \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}x^{-\theta}(t)(y+1)^{-1}$, for $y > 10$, and

$$\int |\varepsilon|^2|q_y|\varphi_{10} \lesssim \frac{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}{x^\theta}\int_{y>0}\varepsilon^2(y+1)^{-1}\varphi_{10} \leq \frac{1}{100}\int \varepsilon^2\varphi_{10}'.$$

The collection of above estimates yields the bound:

$$\frac{d}{ds}\int \varphi_{10}\varepsilon^2 + 10\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}\int \varphi_{10}\varepsilon^2 \lesssim \mathcal{N}_{1,\text{loc}} + \frac{1}{s^2},$$

and (3.19) is proved.

B.2. **Proof of Lemma 3.3.** **step 1** Weighted L^2 controls at the right.

We first recall from [38], proof of Proposition 3.1, the following controls for all $s \in [0,s_0]$,

$$\int_{y>0} y\varepsilon^2(s) \lesssim \left(1 + \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{10}{9}}(s)}\right)\mathcal{N}_{1,\text{loc}}^{\frac{8}{9}}(s), \tag{B.2}$$

$$\int_{y>0} y^2\varepsilon^2(s) \lesssim \left(1 + \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{10}{9}}(s)}\right)\mathcal{N}_{2,\text{loc}}^{\frac{8}{9}}(s), \tag{B.3}$$

$$\int_{y>0} |\varepsilon(s)| \lesssim \mathcal{N}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(s). \tag{B.4}$$

step 2 Algebraic computations on $\mathcal{F}_i$.

First, note that the equation of ε (2.39) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_s\varepsilon - \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}\Lambda\varepsilon &= \left(-\partial_y^2\varepsilon + \varepsilon - Z\right)_y \\ &\quad - p_sY_0 + (5Q^4(p-q))_y + \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}p\Lambda Y_0 + \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b\right)\Lambda Q_b \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1\right)(Q_b + \varepsilon + pY_0)_y + \Phi_b + \Psi_b,\end{aligned} \tag{B.5}$$

where

$$Z = (Q_b + pY_0 + q + \varepsilon)^5 - Q_b^5 - 5Q^4(pY_0 + q) - q^5,$$

$$\Phi_b = -b_s\left(\chi_b + \gamma y(\chi_b)_y\right)P, \quad -\Psi_b = \left(Q_b'' - Q_b + Q_b^5\right)' + b\Lambda Q_b.$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned}s^{-j}\frac{d}{ds}\left[s^j\mathcal{F}_i\right] &= 2\int \psi_B(\varepsilon_y)_s\varepsilon_y \\ &\quad + 2\int \varepsilon_s\left[\varepsilon\varphi_{i,B} - \psi_B Z\right] \\ &\quad - 2\int \psi_B(Q_b)_s\left[(\varepsilon + Q_b + pY_0 + q)^5 - (Q_b + pY_0 + q)^5 - 5\varepsilon Q_b^4\right] \\ &\quad - 2\int \psi_B(p_sY_0 + q_s)\left[(\varepsilon + Q_b + pY_0 + q)^5 - (Q_b + pY_0 + q)^5 - 5\varepsilon Q^4\right] \\ &\quad + 10\int \psi_B q_s q^4\varepsilon + \frac{j}{s}\mathcal{F}_i\end{aligned}$$

which we rewrite

$$s^{-j}\frac{d}{ds}\left[s^j\mathcal{F}_i\right] = f_1^{(i)} + f_2^{(i)} + f_3^{(i)}, \tag{B.6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1^{(i)} &= 2\int \left(\varepsilon_s - \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}\Lambda\varepsilon\right)\left(-(\psi_B\varepsilon_y)_y + \varepsilon\varphi_{i,B} - \psi_B Z\right),\\
f_2^{(i,j)} &= 2\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}\int \Lambda\varepsilon\left(-(\psi_B\varepsilon_y)_y + \varepsilon\varphi_{i,B} - \psi_B Z\right) + \frac{j}{s}\mathcal{F}_i,\\
f_3^{(i)} &= -2\int \psi_B (Q_b)_s\left[(\varepsilon + Q_b + pY_0 + q)^5 - (Q_b + pY_0 + q)^5 - 5\varepsilon Q_b^4\right]\\
&\quad - 2\int \psi_B (p_s Y_0 + q_s)\left[(\varepsilon + Q_b + pY_0 + q)^5 - (Q_b + pY_0 + q)^5 - 5\varepsilon Q^4\right]\\
&\quad + 10\int \psi_B q_s q^4 \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

We claim the following estimates on the above terms: for some $\mu_0 > 0$,

$$\frac{d}{ds} f_1^{(i)} \le -\mu_0 \int \left(\varepsilon_y^2 + \varepsilon^2\right)\varphi'_{i,B} + Cs^{-4}, \tag{B.7}$$

$$\left|\frac{d}{ds} f_k^{(i)}\right| \le \frac{\mu_0}{10}\int \left(\varepsilon_y^2 + \varepsilon^2\right)\varphi'_{i,B} + Cs^{-4} + Cs^{10\beta-9}, \quad \text{for } k = 2,3. \tag{B.8}$$

Inserting (B.7) and (B.8) into (B.6) yields (3.21) for all j. In steps 3 - step 5, we prove (B.7) and (B.8).

Observe that the definitions of φ_i and ψ imply the following estimates:

$$\forall y \in \mathbb{R}, \quad |\varphi_i'''(y)| + |\varphi_i''(y)| + |\psi'''(y)| + |y\psi'(y)| + |\psi(y)| \lesssim \varphi_i'(y) \lesssim \varphi_i(y), \tag{B.9}$$

$$\forall y \in (-\infty, 2], \quad e^{|y|}\psi(y) + e^{|y|}\psi'(y) + \varphi_i(y) \lesssim \varphi_i'(y), \tag{B.10}$$

$$\forall y \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \varphi_2'(y) \lesssim \varphi_1(y) \lesssim \varphi_2'(y). \tag{B.11}$$

In particular,

$$\mathcal{N}_{1,\mathrm{loc}}(s) \lesssim \mathcal{N}_{2,\mathrm{loc}}(s) \lesssim \mathcal{N}_1(s) \lesssim \mathcal{N}_2(s), \qquad \int \varepsilon^2(s,y)\varphi_{1,B}(y)dy \lesssim \mathcal{N}_{2,\mathrm{loc}}(s). \tag{B.12}$$

step 3 Control of $f_1^{(i)}$. Proof of (B.7). We compute $f_1^{(i)}$ using (B.5)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{f}_1^{(\mathrm{i})} &= 2\int \left(-\varepsilon_{yy} + \varepsilon - Z\right)_y\left(-(\psi_B\varepsilon_y)_y + \varepsilon\varphi_{i,B} - \psi_B Z\right)\\
&\quad + 2\left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b\right)\int \Lambda Q_b\left(-(\psi_B\varepsilon_y)_y + \varepsilon\varphi_{i,B} - \psi_B Z\right)\\
&\quad + 2\left(\frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1\right)\int (Q_b + \varepsilon + pY_0)_y\left(-(\psi_B\varepsilon_y)_y + \varepsilon\varphi_{i,B} - \psi_B Z\right)\\
&\quad + 2\int \Phi_b\left(-(\psi_B\varepsilon_y)_y + \varepsilon\varphi_{i,B} - \psi_B Z\right)\\
&\quad + 2\int \Psi_b\left(-(\psi_B\varepsilon_y)_y + \varepsilon\varphi_{i,B} - \psi_B Z\right)\\
&\quad + \int \left(-p_s Y_0 + (5Q^4(p-q))_y + \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}p\Lambda Y_0\right)\left(-(\psi_B\varepsilon_y)_y + \varepsilon\varphi_{i,B} - \psi_B Z\right)\\
&= \mathrm{f}_{1,1}^{(i)} + \mathrm{f}_{1,2}^{(i)} + \mathrm{f}_{1,3}^{(i)} + \mathrm{f}_{1,4}^{(i)} + \mathrm{f}_{1,5}^{(i)} + \mathrm{f}_{1,6}^{(i)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Term $f^{(i)}_{1,1}$: We first integrate by parts

$$\begin{aligned} f^{(i)}_{1,1} &= 2\int [-\varepsilon_{yy}+\varepsilon-Z]_y\,[-\varepsilon_{yy}+\varepsilon-Z]\,\psi_B \\ &+ 2\int [-\varepsilon_{yy}+\varepsilon-Z]_y\left(-\psi'_B\varepsilon_y+\varepsilon(\varphi_{i,B}-\psi_B)\right). \end{aligned}$$

We compute the various terms :

$$\begin{aligned} &2\int [-\varepsilon_{yy}+\varepsilon-Z]_y\,[-\varepsilon_{yy}+\varepsilon-Z]\,\psi_B = -\int \psi'_B\,[-\varepsilon_{yy}+\varepsilon-Z]^2 \\ &= -\int \psi'_B\,[-\varepsilon_{yy}+\varepsilon]^2 - \int \psi'_B\left\{[-\varepsilon_{yy}+\varepsilon-Z]^2-[-\varepsilon_{yy}+\varepsilon]^2\right\} \\ &= -\left[\int \psi'_B(\varepsilon_{yy}^2+2\varepsilon_y^2)+\int \varepsilon^2(\psi'_B-\psi'''_B)\right] \\ &- \int \psi'_B\left\{[-\varepsilon_{yy}+\varepsilon-Z]^2-[-\varepsilon_{yy}+\varepsilon]^2\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Next after integration by parts:

$$\begin{aligned} &2\int [-\varepsilon_{yy}+\varepsilon]_y\left[-\psi'_B\varepsilon_y+\varepsilon(\varphi_{i,B}-\psi_B)\right] \\ &= -2\Big\{\int \psi'_B\varepsilon_{yy}^2+\int \varepsilon_y^2(\tfrac{3}{2}\varphi'_{i,B}-\tfrac{1}{2}\psi'_B-\tfrac{1}{2}\psi'''_B) \\ &+\int \varepsilon^2(\tfrac{1}{2}(\varphi_{i,B}-\psi_B)'-\tfrac{1}{2}(\varphi_{i,B}-\psi_B)''')\Big\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} -&\quad 2\int Z_y(\varphi_{i,B}-\psi_B)\varepsilon = 2\int Z(\varphi'_{i,B}-\psi'_B)\varepsilon+2\int Z(\varphi_{i,B}-\psi_B)\varepsilon_y \\ =&\quad 2\int Z(\varphi'_{i,B}-\psi'_B)\varepsilon-\frac{1}{3}\int(\varphi_{i,B}-\psi_B)' \\ &\quad \{[(Q_b+\varepsilon+pY_0+q)^6-(Q_b+pY_0+q)^6-6Q_b^5\varepsilon-6q^5\varepsilon-30Q^4(pY_0+q)\varepsilon\} \\ -&\quad 2\int(\varphi_{i,B}-\psi_B)(Q_b)_y[(Q_b+\varepsilon+pY_0+q)^5-(Q_b+pY_0+q)^5-5Q_b^4\varepsilon] \\ +&\quad 40\int(\varphi_{i,B}-\psi_B)Q'Q^3(pY_0+q)\varepsilon \\ -&\quad 2\int(\varphi_{i,B}-\psi_B)(pY'_0+q_y)[(Q_b+\varepsilon+pY_0+q)^5-(Q_b+pY_0+q)^5-5Q^4\varepsilon]. \end{aligned}$$

We collect the above computations and obtain the following

$$
\begin{aligned}
&f^{(i)}_{1,1} = -\int \left[3\psi'_B\varepsilon_{yy}^2 + (3\varphi'_{i,B} + \psi'_B - \psi'''_B)\varepsilon_y^2 + (\varphi'_{i,B} - \varphi'''_{i,B})\varepsilon^2\right]\\
&-\frac{1}{3}\int \left[(\varepsilon+Q_b+pY_0+q)^6-(Q_b+pY_0+q)^6-6Q_b^5\varepsilon-6q^5\varepsilon-30Q^4(pY_0+q)\varepsilon-6Z\varepsilon\right](\varphi'_{i,B}-\psi'_B)\\
&-2\int(\varphi_{i,B}-\psi_B)(Q_b)_y[(Q_b+\varepsilon+pY_0+q)^5-(Q_b+pY_0+q)^5-5Q_b^4\varepsilon]\\
&+40\int(\varphi_{i,B}-\psi_B)Q'Q^3(pY_0+q)\varepsilon\\
&-2\int(\varphi_{i,B}-\psi_B)(pY_0'+q_y)[(Q_b+\varepsilon+pY_0+q)^5-(Q_b+pY_0+q)^5-5Q^4\varepsilon]\\
&+2\int Z_y\varepsilon_y\psi'_B-\int\psi'_B\left\{[-\varepsilon_{yy}+\varepsilon-Z]^2-[-\varepsilon_{yy}+\varepsilon]^2\right\}\\
&=(f^{(i)}_{1,1})^{<}+(f^{(i)}_{1,1})^{\sim}+(f^{(i)}_{1,1})^{>}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $(f^{(i)}_{1,1})^{<,\sim,>}$ respectively corresponds to integration on $y<-\frac{B}{2}$, $|y|\le\frac{B}{2}$, $y>\frac{B}{2}$.

We recall

$$
\|\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty}\lesssim\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}\lesssim\delta(\alpha^*). \tag{B.13}
$$

• For the region $y<-B/2$, we rely on monotonicity type arguments and estimate using (B.9):

$$
\int_{y<-B/2}\varepsilon^2|\varphi'''_{i,B}|\lesssim\frac{1}{B^2}\int_{y<-B/2}\varepsilon^2\varphi'_{i,B}\le\frac{1}{100}\int_{y<-B/2}\varepsilon^2\varphi'_{i,B},
$$

$$
\int_{y<-B/2}\varepsilon_y^2|\psi'''_B|\lesssim\frac{1}{B^2}\int_{y<-B/2}\varepsilon_y^2\varphi'_{i,B}\le\frac{1}{100}\int_{y<-B/2}\varepsilon_y^2\varphi'_{i,B},
$$

by choosing B large enough. By (B.13) (for B large and α^* small)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_{y<-B/2}\left[(\varepsilon+Q_b+pY_0+q)^6-(Q_b+pY_0+q)^6-6Q_b^5\varepsilon-6q^5\varepsilon-30Q^4(pY_0+q)\varepsilon-6Z\varepsilon\right](\varphi'_{i,B}-\psi'_B)\right|\\
&\lesssim\int_{y<-B/2}\left(\delta(\alpha^*)+(|Q_b|^4+|p|^4+|q|^4)\varepsilon^2+(|b|+|p|+|q|)^2|\varepsilon|\right)\varphi'_{i,B}\\
&\lesssim\left(\delta(\alpha^*)+\delta(s_0^{-1})+e^{-\frac{B}{10}}\right)\int_{y<-B/2}\varphi'_{i,B}\varepsilon^2+\frac{1}{s^2}\left(\int_{y<-B/2}\varepsilon^2\varphi'_{i,B}\right)^{\frac12}\\
&\le\frac{1}{100}\int_{y<-B/2}\varepsilon^2\varphi'_{i,B}+\frac{1}{s^4},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used from the definition of q and (3.12)

$$
\left(\int q^4\varphi'_{i,B}\right)^{\frac12}\lesssim\frac{1}{s^2}.
$$

Similarily for α^* small depending on B,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\int_{y<-\frac{B}{2}}(\varphi_{i,B}-\psi_B)(Q_b)_y\left[(Q_b+\varepsilon+pY_0+q)^5-(Q_b+pY_0+q)^5-5Q_b^4\varepsilon\right]\\
&-20\int(\varphi_{i,B}-\psi_B)Q'Q^3(pY_0+q)\varepsilon\Big|\\
&\lesssim B\int_{y<-\frac{B}{2}}\left(|\varepsilon|^5+\varepsilon^2(|Q_b|^3+|p|^3+|q|^3)\right)(|Q_y|+|b||(P\chi_b)'|)\varphi'_{i,B}\\
&+B\int|\varepsilon|\left(|p|^2+|q|^2\right)(|Q_y|+|b||(P\chi_b)'|)\varphi'_{i,B}\\
&+B\int|\varepsilon|(|p|+|q|)\left|(Q_b)_yQ_b^3-Q'Q^3\right|\varphi'_{i,B}\\
&\leq\frac{1}{100}\int_{y<-B/2}(\varepsilon_y^2+\varepsilon^2)\varphi'_{i,B}+\frac{1}{s^4}.
\end{aligned}$$

The next term in $(f_{1,1}^{(i)})^<$ is

$$-2\int(\varphi_{i,B}-\psi_B)(pY_0'+q_y)[(Q_b+\varepsilon+pY_0+q)^5-(Q_b+pY_0+q)^5-5Q^4\varepsilon].$$

To estimate it, we note the following

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|(Q_b+\varepsilon+pY_0+q)^5-(Q_b+pY_0+q)^5-5Q^4\varepsilon\right|\\
&\lesssim|\varepsilon|^5+|\varepsilon|^2+|\varepsilon|(|b|+|p|+|q|).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, using

$$\int|p|\varphi_{i,B}dy\lesssim\frac{1}{s},\quad\int|q_y|\varphi_{i,B}dy\lesssim\frac{1}{s^2},\tag{B.14}$$

we obtain proceeding as before

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int(\varphi_{i,B}-\psi_B)(pY_0'+q_y)[(Q_b+\varepsilon+pY_0+q)^5-(Q_b+pY_0+q)^5-5Q^4\varepsilon]\right|\\
&\lesssim\frac{1}{100}\int_{y<-B/2}(\varepsilon_y^2+\varepsilon^2)\varphi'_{i,B}+\frac{1}{s^4}.
\end{aligned}$$

We further estimate using (B.13) and $(\varphi_i')^2\lesssim\psi'\lesssim(\varphi_i')^2$ for $y<-\frac{1}{2}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int Z_y\varepsilon_y\psi_b'\right|\\
&\lesssim\left|\int_{y<-\frac{B}{2}}\psi_B'\varepsilon_y\left\{(Q_b)_y[(Q_b+\varepsilon+pY_0+q)^4-Q_b^4]-Q'Q^3(pY_0+q)\right\}\right|\\
&+\left|\int_{y<-\frac{B}{2}}\psi_B'\varepsilon_y(pY_0'+q_y)((Q_b+\varepsilon+pY_0+q)^4-Q^4)\right|+\left|\int q_yq^4\varepsilon\psi_B'\right|\\
&+\left|\int_{y<-\frac{B}{2}}\psi_B'\varepsilon_y^2(Q_b+\varepsilon+pY_0+q)^4\right|\\
&\lesssim\left(e^{-\frac{1}{2}B}+\delta(s_0^{-1})+\delta(\alpha^*)\right)\int_{y<-\frac{B}{2}}\varphi'_{i,B}(\varepsilon_y^2+\varepsilon^2)+\frac{1}{s^4}\\
&\leq\frac{1}{100}\int_{y<-B/2}(\varepsilon_y^2+\varepsilon^2)\varphi'_{i,B}+\frac{C}{s^4}.
\end{aligned}$$

The remaining nonlinear term is estimated using the local H^2 control provided by localization (see more details in [38])

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_{y<-\frac{B}{2}} \psi_B' \left\{[-\varepsilon_{yy}+\varepsilon-Z]^2-[-\varepsilon_{yy}+\varepsilon]^2\right\}\right|\\
= &\left|\int_{y<-\frac{B}{2}} \psi_B' \left(-2\varepsilon_{yy}+2\varepsilon-Z\right) Z\right|\\
\leq &\frac{1}{100}\int_{y<-\frac{B}{2}} \psi_B'(|\varepsilon_{yy}|^2+|\varepsilon|^2)+C\int_{y<-\frac{B}{2}}(\varphi_{i,B}')^2Z^2\\
\leq &\frac{1}{100}\int_{y<-B/2}\left[\varepsilon_{yy}^2\psi_B'+(\varepsilon_y^2+\varepsilon^2)\varphi_{i,B}'\right]+\frac{1}{s^4}.
\end{aligned}$$

- In the region $y>\frac{B}{2}$, we have $\psi_B(y)=1$, so that several terms cancel in $f_{1,1}^{(i)}$. For the remaining terms, we argue as before. We rely on (B.9) to estimate:

$$\int_{y>B/2}\varepsilon^2|\varphi_{i,B}'''|\lesssim\frac{1}{B^2}\int_{y>B/2}\varepsilon^2\varphi_{i,B}'\leq\frac{1}{100}\int_{y>B/2}\varepsilon^2\varphi_{i,B}',$$

and we use the exponential localization of Q_b to the right and (B.13), to control:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_{y>B/2}\left(\frac{(\varepsilon+Q_b+pY_0+q)^6}{6}-\frac{(Q_b+pY_0+q)^6}{6}-Q_b^5\varepsilon-5Q^4(pY_0+q)-Z\varepsilon\right)\varphi_{i,B}'\right|\\
&\lesssim\int_{y>B/2}\left(\varepsilon^6+\left(|Q_b|^4+p^4+q^4\right)\varepsilon^2+(|b|+|q|+|p|)^2|\varepsilon|\right)\varphi_{i,B}'\\
&\lesssim\left(\delta(\alpha^*)+\delta(s_0^{-1})+e^{-\frac{B}{10}}\right)\int_{y>B/2}\varepsilon^2\varphi_{i,B}'+\frac{1}{s^4}\\
&\leq\frac{1}{100}\int_{y>B/2}\varepsilon^2\varphi_{i,B}'+\frac{C}{s^4},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Bigg|\int_{y>B/2}\left[(\varepsilon+Q_b+pY_0+q)^5-(Q_b+pY_0+q)^5-5Q_b^4\varepsilon\right](Q_b)_y(\psi_B-\varphi_{i,B})\\
&-20\int_{y>B/2}Q'Q^3(pY_0+q)\varepsilon(\psi_B-\varphi_{i,B})\Bigg|\\
&\leq\frac{1}{100}\int_{y>B/2}\varepsilon^2\varphi_{i,B}'+\frac{C}{s^4}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $Y_0\in\mathcal{Y}$, we argue similarly to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int(\psi_B-\varphi_{i,B})pY_0'\left[(Q_b+\varepsilon+pY_0+q)^5-(Q_b+pY_0+q)^5-5Q^4\varepsilon\right]\right|\\
&\leq\frac{1}{100}\int_{y>B/2}\varepsilon^2\varphi_{i,B}'+\frac{C}{s^4}.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we have from (B.14),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int(\varphi_{i,B}-\psi_B)q_y\left[(Q_b+\varepsilon+pY_0+q)^5-(Q_b+pY_0+q)^5-5Q^4\varepsilon\right]\right|\\
&\leq\frac{1}{100}\int_{y>B/2}\varepsilon^2\varphi_{i,B}'+\frac{C}{s^4}.
\end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\left|\int Z_y\varepsilon_y\psi_B'\right| = \left|\int Z(\varepsilon_{yy}\psi_B' + \varepsilon_y\psi_B'')\right| \le \frac{1}{100}\int(\varepsilon_{yy}^2 + \varepsilon_y^2 + \varepsilon^2)\psi_B' + \frac{C}{s^4}.$$

- In the region $|y| < B/2$, $\varphi_{i,B}(s,y) = 1 + y/B$ and $\psi_B(y) = 1$. In particular, $\varphi_{i,B}''' = \psi_B' = 0$ in this region, and we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
(f_{1,1}^{(i)})^\sim = -\frac{1}{B}\int_{|y|<B/2} &\Big\{3\varepsilon_y^2 + \varepsilon^2 \\
&+ \frac{1}{3}\left((\varepsilon + Q_b + pY_0 + q)^6 - (Q_b + pY_0 + q)^6 - 6Q_b^5\varepsilon - 30Q^4(pY_0 + q)\varepsilon - 6Z\varepsilon\right) \\
&+ 2\left((\varepsilon + Q_b + pY_0 + q)^5 - (Q_b + pY_0 + q)^5 - 5Q_b^4\varepsilon\right) y(Q_b)_y \\
&- 40yQ'Q^3(pY_0 + q)\varepsilon \\
&+ 2y(pY_0' + q_y)\left((\varepsilon + Q_b + pY_0 + q)^5 - (Q_b + pY_0 + q)^5 - 5Q_b^4\varepsilon\right)\Big\} \\
= -\frac{1}{B}\int_{|y|<B/2} &\left\{3\varepsilon_y^2 + \varepsilon^2 - 5Q^4\varepsilon^2 + 20yQ'Q^3\varepsilon^2\right\} + R_{\mathrm{Vir}}(\varepsilon),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{\mathrm{Vir}}(\varepsilon) = -\frac{1}{B}\int_{|y|<B/2} &\left\{\frac{1}{3}\Big((\varepsilon + Q_b + pY_0 + q)^6 - (Q_b + pY_0 + q)^6 - 6Q_b^5\varepsilon\right. \\
&- 30Q^4(pY_0 + q)\varepsilon - 6Z\varepsilon - 15Q^4\varepsilon^2\Big) \\
&+ 2\left((\varepsilon + Q_b + pY_0 + q)^5 - (Q_b + pY_0 + q)^5 - 5Q_b^4\varepsilon\right) y(Q_b)_y \\
&- 20yQ'Q^3(pY_0 + q)\varepsilon - 10yQ'Q^3\varepsilon^2 \\
&\left. + 2y(pY_0' + q_y)\left((\varepsilon + Q_b + pY_0 + q)^5 - (Q_b + pY_0 + q)^5 - 5Q_b^4\varepsilon\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}$$

As before, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
|R_{\mathrm{Vir}}(\varepsilon)| &\lesssim \int_{|y|<B} \varepsilon^2\left(\delta(\alpha^*) + |b| + |p| + |q|\right) + \int_{|y|<B} |\varepsilon|(p^2 + q^2 + b^2) \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{100}\int_{|y|<B/2}(\varepsilon_y^2 + \varepsilon^2) + \frac{1}{s^4},
\end{aligned}$$

We now recall from [38] the following coercivity result.

Lemma B.1 (Localized viriel estimate)**.** *There exists* $B_0 > 100$ *and* $\mu_3 > 0$ *such that if* $B \ge B_0$*, then*

$$\int_{|y|<B/2}\left(3\varepsilon_y^2 + \varepsilon^2 - 5Q^4\varepsilon^2 + 20yQ'Q^3\varepsilon^2\right) \ge \mu_3\int_{|y|<B/2}\left(\varepsilon_y^2 + \varepsilon^2\right) - \frac{1}{B}\int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y|}{2}}.$$

Thus for α^* small enough:

$$(f_{1,1}^{(i)})^\sim \le -\frac{\mu_3}{2B}\int_{|y|<B/2}(\varepsilon_y^2 + \varepsilon^2) + \frac{1}{B^2}\int \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y|}{2}} + \frac{1}{s^4}.$$

The collection of above estimates yields the bound:

$$f_{1,1}^{(i)} \le -\frac{\mu_4}{B}\int\left[\psi_B'\varepsilon_{yy}^2 + \varphi_{i,B}'(\varepsilon_y^2 + \varepsilon^2)\right] + \frac{C}{s^4}, \tag{B.15}$$

for some universal $\mu_4 > 0$ independent of B.

Term $f^{(i)}_{1,2}$: We decompose $f_{1,2}$ in a suitable way:

$$\begin{aligned}
f^{(i)}_{1,2} &= 2\left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}+b\right)\int \Lambda Q(L\varepsilon) - 2\left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}+b\right)\int \varepsilon(1-\varphi_{i,B})\Lambda Q\\
&+ 2b\left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}+b\right)\int \Lambda(\chi_b P)\left(-(\psi_B\varepsilon_y)_y + \varepsilon\varphi_{i,B} - \psi_B Z\right)\\
&+ 2\left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}+b\right)\int \Lambda Q\left(-(\psi_B)_y\varepsilon_y - (1-\psi_B)\varepsilon_{yy} + (1-\psi_B)Z\right)\\
&+ 2\left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}+b\right)\int \Lambda Q(Z - 5Q^4\varepsilon)
\end{aligned}$$

Observe from (2.25):

$$\int \Lambda Q(L\varepsilon) = (\varepsilon, L\Lambda Q) = -2(\varepsilon, Q) = 0.$$

We now use the orthogonality conditions $(\varepsilon, y\Lambda Q) = 0$ and the definition of $\varphi_{i,B}$ to estimate:

$$\left|\int \Lambda Q\varepsilon(1-\varphi_{i,B})\right| = \left|\int \Lambda Q\varepsilon\left(1-\varphi_{i,B}+\frac{y}{B}\right)\right| \lesssim e^{-\frac{B}{8}}\mathcal{N}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{i,\mathrm{loc}},$$

so that for B large enough:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}+b\right)\int \Lambda Q\varepsilon(1-\varphi_{i,B})\right| &\lesssim \left(\mathcal{N}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{i,\mathrm{loc}} + \frac{1}{s^2}\right)e^{-\frac{B}{8}}\mathcal{N}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{i,\mathrm{loc}}\\
&\leq \frac{1}{500}\frac{\mu_4}{B}\mathcal{N}_{i,\mathrm{loc}} + \frac{C}{s^4}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the next term in $f^{(i)}_{1,2}$, we first integrate by parts to remove all derivatives on ε. Then, by the properties of $\varphi_{i,B}$, ψ_B, P and χ_b (2.6), we obtain for α^* small,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|2b\left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}+b\right)\int \Lambda(\chi_b P)\left(-(\psi_B\varepsilon_y)_y + \varepsilon\varphi_{i,B} - \psi_B Z\right)\right|\\
\lesssim\ & |b|\left(\mathcal{N}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{i,\mathrm{loc}} + \frac{1}{s^2}\right)^2 \leq \frac{1}{500}\frac{\mu_4}{B}\mathcal{N}_{i,\mathrm{loc}}(s) + \frac{C}{s^4}.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, integrating by parts, using the exponential decay of Q and since $\psi_B(y) \equiv 1$ on $[-\frac{B}{2}, \infty)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}+b\right)\int \Lambda Q\left(-(\psi_B)_y\varepsilon_y - (1-\psi_B)\varepsilon_{yy} + (1-\psi_B)Z\right)\right|\\
\lesssim\ & \left(\mathcal{N}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{i,\mathrm{loc}} + \frac{1}{s^2}\right)^2 \leq \frac{1}{500}\frac{\mu_4}{B}\mathcal{N}_{i,\mathrm{loc}} + \frac{C}{s^4},
\end{aligned}$$

and finally

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}+b\right)\int \Lambda Q\left[Z - 5Q^4\varepsilon\right]\right| \lesssim \left(\mathcal{N}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{i,\mathrm{loc}} + \frac{1}{s^2}\right)\left(\mathcal{N}_{i,\mathrm{loc}} + \frac{1}{s^2}\right)\\
&\leq \frac{1}{500}\frac{\mu_4}{B}\mathcal{N}_{i,\mathrm{loc}}(s) + \frac{C}{s^4}.
\end{aligned}$$

The collection of above estimates yields the bound:

$$|f^{(i)}_{1,2}| \leq \frac{1}{100}\frac{\mu_4}{B}\mathcal{N}_{i,\mathrm{loc}} + \frac{C}{s^4}.$$

Term $f^{(i)}_{1,3}$: Integrating by parts, we claim the identity

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{1}{6}\int \psi'_B\left[(Q_b+pY_0+q+\varepsilon)^6-Q_b^6-6Q_b^5\varepsilon-6Q^5(pY_0+q)-6Q_bq^5\right]\\
&=\int \psi_B(Q_b)_yZ-5\int\psi_BQ'Q^4\varepsilon+\int(Q_b^5-Q^5)_y\varepsilon\psi_B+5\int(Q_b-Q)_yQ^4(pY_0+q)\psi_B\\
&+\int\psi_BpY_0'Z+\int(Q^5-Q_b^5)pY_0'\psi_B+5\int Q^4(pY_0+q)pY_0'\psi_B+\int\psi_BpY_0'q^5Q_b\\
&+\int\psi_Bq_y\left[(Q_b+pY_0+q+\varepsilon)^5-Q^5\right]+\int\psi_B\varepsilon_yZ+5\int\psi_B\varepsilon_yQ^4(pY_0+q).
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{(i)}_{1,3} &= \left(\frac{x_s}{\lambda}-1\right)\left\{2\int Q'\left[L\varepsilon-\psi'_B\varepsilon_y+(1-\psi_B)\varepsilon_{yy}-\varepsilon(1-\varphi_{i,B})\right]\right.\\
&+\ 2\int(Q_b-Q+\varepsilon+pY_0)_y\left[-\psi'_B\varepsilon_y-\psi_B\varepsilon_{yy}+\varepsilon\varphi_{i,B}\right]\\
&+\ \frac{1}{3}\int\psi'_B\left[(Q_b+pY_0+q+\varepsilon)^6-Q_b^6-6Q_b^5\varepsilon-6Q^5(pY_0+q)-6Q_bq^5\right]\\
&+\ 2\int\varepsilon\psi_B(Q_b^5-Q^5)_y+10\int\psi_B(Q_b-Q)_yQ^4(pY_0+q)\\
&+\ 2\int\psi_B(Q^5-Q_b^5)pY_0'+10\int Q^4(pY_0+q)pY_0'\psi_B+2\int\psi_BpY_0'q^5Q_b\\
&+\left.\ 2\int\psi_Bq_y\left[(Q_b+pY_0+q+\varepsilon)^5-Q^5-5Q_bq^4\right]+10\int\psi_B\varepsilon_yQ^4(pY_0+q)\right\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

The first term is treated using the cancellation $LQ'=0$ and the orthogonality conditions $(\varepsilon,\Lambda Q)=(\varepsilon,Q)=0$, so that $(yQ',\varepsilon)=0$. Thus, by the definitions of $\varphi_{i,B}$ and ψ_B,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|2\left(\frac{x_s}{\lambda}-1\right)\int Q'\left[L\varepsilon-\psi'_B\varepsilon_y+(1-\psi_B)\varepsilon_{yy}-\varepsilon(1-\varphi_{i,B})\right]\right|\\
&=\left|2\left(\frac{x_s}{\lambda}-1\right)\int Q'\left[-\psi'_B\varepsilon_y+(1-\psi_B)\varepsilon_{yy}-\varepsilon\left(1+\frac{y}{B}-\varphi_{i,B}\right)\right]\right|\\
&\lesssim\left(\mathcal{N}^{\frac12}_{i,\mathrm{loc}}+\frac{1}{s^2}\right)e^{-\frac{B}{10}}\mathcal{N}^{\frac12}_{i,\mathrm{loc}}\le\frac{1}{500}\frac{\mu_4}{B}\mathcal{N}_{i,\mathrm{loc}}+\frac{C}{s^4}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, as before, integrating by parts, and using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|2b\left(\frac{x_s}{\lambda}-1\right)\int(\chi_bP)_y\left[-\psi'_B\varepsilon_y-\psi_B\varepsilon_{yy}+\varepsilon\varphi_{i,B}\right]\right|\\
&\lesssim\frac{1}{s}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\frac12}_{i,\mathrm{loc}}+\frac{1}{s^2}\right)B^{\frac12}\mathcal{N}_{i,\mathrm{loc}}\le\frac{1}{500}\frac{\mu_4}{B}\mathcal{N}_{i,\mathrm{loc}}+\frac{C}{s^4}.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|2\left(\frac{x_s}{\lambda}-1\right)\int\varepsilon_y\left[-\psi'_B\varepsilon_y-\psi_B\varepsilon_{yy}+\varepsilon\varphi_{i,B}\right]\right|\\
&\lesssim\delta(\alpha^*)\int\left(\varepsilon_y^2+\varepsilon^2\right)\varphi'_{i,B}\le\frac{1}{500}\frac{\mu_4}{B}\int\left(\varepsilon_y^2+\varepsilon^2\right)\varphi'_{i,B}+\frac{C}{s^4}.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\frac{x_s}{\lambda}-1\right|\left|\int pY_0'\left[-\psi_B'\varepsilon_y-\psi_B\varepsilon_{yy}+\varepsilon\varphi_{i,B}\right]\right|\\&\lesssim\left(\mathcal{N}_{i,\mathrm{loc}}^{\frac12}+\frac{1}{s^2}\right)\frac{1}{s}\mathcal{N}_{i,\mathrm{loc}}^{\frac12}\le\frac{1}{500}\frac{\mu_4}{B}\int\left(\varepsilon_y^2+\varepsilon^2\right)\varphi_{i,B}'+\frac{C}{s^4}.\end{aligned}$$

In conclusion for $f_{1,3}^{(i)}$, on gets

$$|f_{1,3}^{(i)}|\le\frac{1}{50}\frac{\mu_4}{B}\int\left(\varepsilon_y^2+\varepsilon^2\right)\varphi_{i,B}'+\frac{C}{s^4},$$

for B large enough and α^* small enough, s_0 large enough.

Term $f_{1,4}^{(i)}$: Recall

$$f_{1,4}^{(i)}=-2b_s\int\left(\chi_b+\gamma y(\chi_b)_y\right)P\left(-\psi_B\varepsilon_{yy}-\psi_B'\varepsilon_y+\varepsilon\varphi_{i,B}-\psi_BZ\right).$$

We estimate after integrations by parts

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int\left(\chi_b+\gamma y(\chi_b)_y\right)P\left(-\psi_B\varepsilon_y\right)_y\right|&\lesssim\int|\varepsilon|\,|(\psi_B((\chi_b+\gamma y(\chi_b)_y)P)_y)_y|\\&\lesssim B^{\frac12}\mathcal{N}_{i,\mathrm{loc}}^{\frac12}.\end{aligned}$$

$$\left|\int\left(\chi_b+\gamma y(\chi_b)_y\right)P\varepsilon\varphi_{i,B}\right|\lesssim B^{\frac12}\mathcal{N}_{i,\mathrm{loc}}^{\frac12}.$$

The estimate of the nonlinear term follows from (B.13) and $\psi\le(\varphi_i')^2$ for $y<-\frac12$:

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int\left(\chi_b+\gamma y(\chi_b)_y\right)P\psi_BZ\right|\lesssim\int\psi_B((|Q_b|^4|+p^4+q^4)\varepsilon|+|\varepsilon|^5+b^2+p^2+q^2)\\&\lesssim B^{\frac12}\left(\int(|\varepsilon|^2+|\varepsilon|^6)\psi_B\right)^{\frac12}+\frac{1}{s^2}\lesssim B^{\frac12}\left(\int\left(\varepsilon_y^2+\varepsilon^2\right)\varphi_{i,B}'\right)^{\frac12}+\frac{1}{s^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Together with (3.14), these estimates yield the bound:

$$|f_{1,4}|\le\frac{1}{500}\frac{\mu_4}{B}\int\left(\varepsilon_y^2+\varepsilon^2\right)\varphi_{i,B}'+\frac{C}{s^4}.$$

Term $f_{1,5}^{(i)}$: Recall:

$$f_{1,5}^{(i)}=2\int\Psi_b\left(-(\psi_B\varepsilon_y)_y+\varepsilon\varphi_{i,B}-\psi_BZ\right).$$

We now rely on (3.15) to estimate by integration by parts and Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality,

$$\left|\int(\Psi_b)_y\psi_B\varepsilon_y\right|\lesssim B^{\frac12}b^2\mathcal{N}_{i,\mathrm{loc}}^{\frac12}\le\frac{1}{500}\frac{\mu_4}{B}\mathcal{N}_{i,\mathrm{loc}}+\frac{C}{s^4}.$$

By (2.10) and the exponential decay of $\varphi_{i,B}$ in the left,

$$\left|\int\Psi_b\varphi_{i,B}\varepsilon\right|\lesssim\left(b^2B^{\frac12}+e^{-\frac{1}{2|b|^\gamma}}\right)|b|^{1+\gamma}\mathcal{N}_{i,\mathrm{loc}}^{\frac12}\le\frac{1}{500}\frac{\mu_4}{B}\mathcal{N}_{i,\mathrm{loc}}+C|b|^4.$$

For the nonlinear term, similarly and using (B.13),

$$\left|\int\Psi_b\psi_BZ\right|\le\frac{1}{500}\frac{\mu_4}{B}\int\left(\varepsilon_y^2+\varepsilon^2\right)\varphi_{i,B}'+\frac{C}{s^4}.$$

The collection of above estimates yields the bound:

$$|f^{(i)}_{1,5}|\leq\frac{1}{100}\frac{\mu_4}{B}\int\left(\varepsilon_y^2+\varepsilon^2\right)\varphi'_{i,B}+\frac{C}{s^4}.$$

Term $f^{(i)}_{1,6}$: Recall that this term writes

$$\left|\int\left(-p_sY_0+(5Q^4(p-q))_y+\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}p\Lambda Y_0\right)(-(\psi_B\varepsilon_y)_y+\varepsilon\varphi_{i,B}-\psi_BZ)\right|$$

By (3.15), (2.42), (3.14),

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\left(-p_sY_0+(5Q^4(p-q))_y+\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}p\Lambda Y_0\right)\right|+\left|\left(-p_sY_0+(5Q^4(p-q))_y+\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}p\Lambda Y_0\right)_y\right|\\&\lesssim e^{-\frac{|y|}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{s^2}+\int\varepsilon^2e^{-\frac{|y|}{2}}\right),\end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$|f^{(i)}_{1,6}|\lesssim\delta(\alpha^*)\int\varepsilon^2e^{-\frac{|y|}{2}}+\frac{1}{s^4}.$$

step 4 $f_2^{(i,j)}$ term.
Recall:

$$f_2^{(i,j)}\;=\;2\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}\int\Lambda\varepsilon\left(-(\psi_B\varepsilon_y)_y+\varepsilon\varphi_{i,B}-\psi_BZ\right)+\frac{j}{s}\mathcal{F}_i.$$

We integrate by parts to compute:

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\Lambda\varepsilon(\psi_B\varepsilon_y)_y=-\int\varepsilon_y^2\psi_B+\frac{1}{2}\int\varepsilon_y^2y\psi'_B,\\&\int(\Lambda\varepsilon)\varepsilon\varphi_{i,B}=-\frac{1}{2}\int\varepsilon^2y\varphi'_{i,B},\\&\int\Lambda\varepsilon\psi_BZ=\int\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+y\varepsilon_y\right)\psi_B\left[(Q_b+pY_0+q+\varepsilon)^5-Q_b^5-5Q^4(pY_0+q)-q^5\right]\\&=\int\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\psi_B\left[(Q_b+pY_0+q+\varepsilon)^5-Q_b^5-5Q^4(pY_0+q)-q^5\right]\\&-\int(y\psi_B)_y\Bigg[\frac{(Q_b+pY_0+q+\varepsilon)^6}{6}-\frac{(Q_b+pY_0+q)^6}{6}-Q_b^5\varepsilon\\&\qquad-5Q^4(pY_0+q)\varepsilon-Q_b^5(pY_0+q)-q^5\varepsilon\Bigg]\\&-\int y\psi_B(Q_b)_y\big[(Q_b+pY_0+q+\varepsilon)^5-(Q_b+pY_0+q)^5-5Q_b^4\varepsilon-5Q_b^4(pY_0+q)\varepsilon\\&\qquad-20Q_b^3(pY_0+q)\varepsilon\big]\\&-\int y\psi_BpY_0'\left[(Q_b+pY_0+q+\varepsilon)^5-(Q_b+pY_0+q)^5-5Q^4\varepsilon\right]\\&+5\int(Q_b^4-Q^4)_y(pY_0+q)\varepsilon y\psi_B\\&-\int y\psi_B\left[(Q_b+pY_0+q+\varepsilon)^5-(Q_b+pY_0+q)^5-5Q^4\varepsilon-Q_b^5-5q^4\varepsilon\right].\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_2^{(i,j)} &= 2\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}\Big\{\int \varepsilon_y^2\psi_B - \frac{1}{2}\int \varepsilon_y^2 y\psi_B' - \frac{1}{2}\int \varepsilon^2 y\varphi_{i,B}'\\
&+ \int \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\psi_B\left[(Q_b+pY_0+q+\varepsilon)^5 - Q_b^5 - 5Q^4(pY_0+q) - q^5\right]\\
&- \int (y\psi_B)_y\bigg[\frac{(Q_b+pY_0+q+\varepsilon)^6}{6} - \frac{(Q_b+pY_0+q)^6}{6} - Q_b^5\varepsilon\\
&\qquad - 5Q^4(pY_0+q)\varepsilon - Q_b^5(pY_0+q) - q^5\varepsilon\bigg]\\
&- \int y\psi_B(Q_b)_y\big[(Q_b+pY_0+q+\varepsilon)^5 - (Q_b+pY_0+q)^5 - 5Q_b^4\varepsilon - 5Q_b^4(pY_0+q)\varepsilon\\
&\qquad - 20Q_b^3(pY_0+q)\varepsilon\big]\\
&- \int y\psi_B pY_0'\left[(Q_b+pY_0+q+\varepsilon)^5 - (Q_b+pY_0+q)^5 - 5Q^4\varepsilon\right]\\
&+ 5\int (Q_b^4 - Q^4)_y(pY_0+q)\varepsilon y\psi_B\\
&- \int y\psi_B\left[(Q_b+pY_0+q+\varepsilon)^5 - (Q_b+pY_0+q)^5 - 5Q^4\varepsilon - Q_b^5 - 5q^4\varepsilon\right]\Big\} + \frac{j}{s}\mathcal{F}_i.
\end{aligned}
$$

For $y < -B$, we use the exponential decay of $\psi_B, \varphi_{i,B}$ and (B.9) to estimate:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{y<-\frac{B}{2}} (\psi_B + |y|\psi_B' + \varphi_{i,B})(\varepsilon_y^2 + \varepsilon^2) + |y|\varphi_{i,B}'\varepsilon^2\\
&\lesssim \int_{y<-\frac{B}{2}} \varepsilon_y^2\varphi_{i,B}' + \int_{y<-\frac{B}{2}} |y|\varphi_{i,B}'\varepsilon^2\\
&\lesssim \int \varepsilon_y^2\varphi_{i,B}' + \left(\int_{y<-\frac{B}{2}} |y|^{100}e^{\frac{y}{B}}\varepsilon^2\right)^{\frac{1}{100}}\left(\int_{y<-\frac{B}{2}} e^{\frac{y}{B}}\varepsilon^2\right)^{\frac{99}{100}}\\
&\lesssim \int \varepsilon_y^2\varphi_{i,B}' + \mathcal{N}_{i,\mathrm{loc}}^{\frac{9}{10}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used $\int_{y<-\frac{B}{2}} |y|^{100}e^{\frac{y}{B}}\varepsilon^2 \le \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \le \delta(\alpha^*)$.

Together with similar estimates for the other terms, this yields the bound:

$$
|(f_2^{(i,j)})^<| \lesssim (\frac{1}{s} + \mathcal{N}_{i,\mathrm{loc}}^{\frac{1}{2}})\left(\int \varepsilon_y^2\varphi_{i,B}' + \mathcal{N}_{i,\mathrm{loc}}^{\frac{9}{10}} + \frac{1}{s^4}\right) \lesssim \delta(\alpha^*)\int (\varepsilon_y^2 + \varepsilon^2)\varphi_{i,B}' + \frac{1}{s^4}.
$$

The middle term $f_2^{(i,j)}$ is also estimated as follows

$$
|f_2^{(i,j)}| \le \delta(\alpha^*)\int (\varepsilon_y^2 + \varepsilon^2)\varphi_{i,B}' + \frac{1}{s^4}.
$$

It only remains to estimate $(f_2^{(i,j)})^>$. Most terms in $(f_2^{(i,j)}$ are easily estimated similarly as before. We focus on the following two delicate terms (because of weight at $+\infty$):

$$
\int_{y>\frac{B}{2}} \varepsilon^2 y\varphi_{i,B}', \quad \frac{j}{s}\int_{y>\frac{B}{2}} \varepsilon^2\varphi_{i,B}.
$$

The function ψ_B being bounded, the other terms are easier.

First, using (B.3),

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{s}\int_{y>\frac{B}{2}}\varepsilon^2\varphi_{i,B} &\lesssim \frac{1}{s}\left(1+\frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{10}{9}}}\right)\mathcal{N}_{2,\mathrm{loc}}^{\frac{8}{9}}\\ &\lesssim s^{\frac{10}{9}\beta-1}\mathcal{N}_{2,\mathrm{loc}}^{\frac{8}{9}} \leq \frac{1}{100}\frac{\mu_4}{B}\mathcal{N}_{2,\mathrm{loc}}+s^{10\beta-9}.\end{aligned}$$

Second, using $\mathcal{N}_{2,\mathrm{loc}}\lesssim s^{-\frac{5}{2}}$ and $\beta<\frac{7}{8}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{y>\frac{B}{2}}\varepsilon^2 y\varphi_{i,B}' &\lesssim \left(\frac{1}{s}+\left(\int\varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{|y|}{10}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\int_{y>\frac{B}{2}}\varepsilon^2\varphi_{i,B}\\ &\lesssim \left(\frac{1}{s}+\mathcal{N}_{2,\mathrm{loc}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)s^{\frac{10}{9}\beta}\mathcal{N}_{2,\mathrm{loc}}^{\frac{8}{9}} \leq \frac{1}{100}\frac{\mu_4}{B}\mathcal{N}_{2,\mathrm{loc}}+s^{10\beta-9}.\end{aligned}$$

step 5 $f_3^{(i)}$ term.

$$\begin{aligned}f_3^{(i)} &= 2\int\psi_B(Q_b)_s\left[(\varepsilon+Q_b+pY_0+q)^5-(Q_b+pY_0+q)^5-5\varepsilon Q_b^4\right]\\ &\quad -2\int\psi_B(p_sY_0+q_s)\left[(\varepsilon+Q_b+pY_0+q)^5-(Q_b+pY_0+q)^5-5\varepsilon Q^4\right]\\ &\quad +10\int\psi_B q_s q^4\varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

First,

$$|(Q_b)_s| = \left|b_sP\left(\chi(|b|^\gamma y)+\gamma|b|^\gamma y\chi'(|b|^\gamma y)\right)\right|\lesssim|b_s|.$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int\psi_B(Q_b)_s\left[(\varepsilon+Q_b+pY_0+q)^5-(Q_b+pY_0+q)^5-5\varepsilon Q_b^4\right]\right|\\ &\lesssim |b_s|\int\psi_B\left(\varepsilon^2(|Q_b|^3+|p|^3+|q|^3+\delta(\alpha^*))+|\varepsilon|(e^{-\frac{|y|}{2}}p^4+q^4)\right)\\ &\lesssim \left(\frac{1}{s^2}+\mathcal{N}_{i,\mathrm{loc}}\right)\left(\int(\varepsilon_y^2+\varepsilon^2)\psi_B+\left(\int\varepsilon^2\psi_B\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int q^8\psi_B\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\\ &\lesssim \left(\delta(\alpha^*)+\delta(s_0^{-1})\right)\int\varepsilon^2\varphi_{i,B}'+\frac{C}{s^4}.\end{aligned}$$

For the next two terms, we first remark that by explicit computations:

$$\int\psi_B(p_sY_0+q_s)^2\lesssim\frac{1}{s^2}.$$

Thus, as before,

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int\psi_B(p_sY_0+q_s)\left[(\varepsilon+Q_b+pY_0+q)^5-(Q_b+pY_0+q)^5-5\varepsilon Q^4\right]\right|\\ &\lesssim \left(\delta(\alpha^*)+\delta(s_0^{-1})\right)\int\varepsilon^2\varphi_{i,B}'+\frac{C}{s^4}.\end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\left|\int\psi_B q_s q^4\varepsilon\right|\lesssim\left(\int\psi_B(q_sq^4)^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int\psi_B\varepsilon^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\lesssim\int\psi_B\varepsilon^2+\frac{1}{s^4}.$$

step 6 Proof of (3.22).

We proceed as in [38]. Recall that for B large enough, $\mu > 0$,

$$\int \psi_B \varepsilon_y^2 + \varphi_{i,B}\varepsilon^2 - 5\psi_B Q^4 \varepsilon^2 \geq \mu \mathcal{N}_i.$$

We only have to estimate the error term as follows. For s_0 large enough, and α^* small enough,

$$\left| \int \left((Q_b+\varepsilon+pY_0+q)^6 - (Q_b+pY_0+q)^6 - 6\varepsilon(Q_b^5+q^5+5Q^4(pY_0+q)) - 6Q^4\varepsilon^2 \right) \psi_B \right|$$
$$\lesssim \frac{1}{s^2}\left(\int \psi_B \varepsilon^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{s}\int \psi_B \varepsilon^2 \leq \frac{\mu}{10}\mathcal{N}_i + \frac{1}{s^4}.$$

This concludes the proof of Lemma 3.3.

References

[1] T. Aubin, Équations différentielles non linéaires et problème de Yamabe concernant la courbure scalaire, J. Math. Pure Appl. **55:3** (1976), 269–296.

[2] J. Bourgain and W. Wang, Construction of blowup solutions for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with critical nonlinearity. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) 25 (1997), 197–215 (1998).

[3] R. Côte, Construction of solutions to the L^2-critical KdV equation with a given asymptotic behaviour, Duke Math. J. 138 (2007), 487–531.

[4] R. Côte, Y. Martel and F. Merle, Construction of multi-soliton solutions for the L^2-supercritical gKdV and NLS equations, arXiv:0905.0470.

[5] R. Côte and H. Zaag, Construction of a multi-soliton blow-up solution to the semilinear wave equation in one space dimension. Preprint.

[6] Donninger, R.; Joachim, K., Nonscattering solutions and blowup at infinity for the critical wave equation, preprint, arXiv:1201.3258

[7] Duyckaerts, T., Kenig, C., Merle, F. Universality of blow-up profile for small radial type II blow-up solutions of energy-critical wave equation, preprint, arXiv:0910.2594.

[8] Duyckaerts, T., Kenig, C., Merle, F. Universality of the blow-up profile for small type II blowup solutions of energy-critical wave equation: the non-radial case, preprint, arXiv:1003.0625.

[9] T. Duyckaerts, F. Merle, Dynamics of threshold solutions for energy-critical wave equation. Int. Math. Res. Pap. IMRP 2007, Art. ID rpn002, 67 pp. (2008).

[10] T. Duyckaerts and F. Merle, Dynamic of threshold solutions for energy-critical NLS, Geom. Funct. Anal. 18 (2009), 1787–1840.

[11] T. Duyckaerts and S. Roudenko, Threshold solutions for the focusing 3D cubic Schrödinger equation, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. 26 (2010), 1–56.

[12] G. Fibich, F. Merle and P. Raphaël, Proof of a spectral property related to the singularity formation for the L2 critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation. Phys. D **220** (2006), 1–13.

[13] Fila, Marek; King, John R.; Winkler, Michael; Yanagida, Eiji Very slow grow-up of solutions of a semi-linear parabolic equation. Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. **54** (2011), 381–400.

[14] B. Gidas, W.M. Ni and L. Nirenberg, Symmetry and related properties via the maximum principle, Comm. Math. Phys. **68** (1979), 209–243.

[15] J. Ginibre and G. Velo, On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations. I. The Cauchy problem, general case. J. Funct. Anal. **32** (1979), 1–32.

[16] S. Gustafson, K. Nakanishi and T.-P. Tsai, Asymptotic stability, concentration and oscillations in harmonic map heat flow, Landau Lifschitz and Schrödinger maps on $\mathbb{R}^2$, Comm. Math. Phys. **300** (2010), 205-242.

[17] M. Hillairet and P. Raphaël, Smooth type II blow up solutions to the four dimensional energy critical wave equation, arXiv:1010.1768.

[18] T. Kato, On the Cauchy problem for the (generalized) Korteweg-de Vries equation. Studies in applied mathematics, 93–128, Adv. Math. Suppl. Stud., 8, Academic Press, New York, 1983.

[19] C.E. Kenig, F. Merle, Global well-posedness, scattering and blow-up for the energy-critical, focusing, nonlinear Schrödinger equation in the radial case. Invent. Math. **166** (2006) 645–675.

[20] C.E. Kenig, G. Ponce and L. Vega, Well-posedness and scattering results for the generalized Korteweg–de Vries equation via the contraction principle, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **46**, (1993) 527–620.

[21] C.E. Kenig, G. Ponce and L. Vega, On the concentration of blow up solutions for the generalized KdV equation critical in L^2. Nonlinear wave equations (Providence, RI, 1998), 131–156, Contemp. Math., **263**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.

[22] C.E. Kenig and F. Merle, Global well-posedness, scattering and blow-up for the energy-critical, focusing, non-linear Schrödinger equation in the radial case. Invent. Math. **166** (2006), 645–675.

[23] R. Killip, S. Kwon, S. Shao, M. Visan, On the mass-critical generalized KdV equation. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **32** (2012), 191-221.

[24] J. Krieger, Y. Martel and P. Raphaël, Two-soliton solutions to the three-dimensional gravitational Hartree equation. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **62** (2009), 1501–1550.

[25] J. Krieger, K. Nakanishi and W. Schlag, Global dynamics away from the ground state for the energy-critical nonlinear wave equation, arXiv:1010.3799.

[26] J. Krieger and W. Schlag, Non-generic blow-up solutions for the critical focusing NLS in 1-D, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **11** (2009), 1–125.

[27] J. Krieger, W. Schlag and D. Tataru, Renormalization and blow up for charge one equivariant critical wave maps. Invent. Math. **171** (2008), 543–615.

[28] J. Krieger, W. Schlag and D. Tataru, Slow blow-up solutions for the $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ critical focusing semilinear wave equation, Duke Math. J. **147** (2009), 1–53.

[29] M. K. Kwong, Uniqueness of positive solutions of $\Delta u - u + u^p = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **105** (1989), 243–266.

[30] Y. Martel, Asymptotic N–soliton–like solutions of the subcritical and critical generalized Korteweg–de Vries equations, Amer. J. Math. **127** (2005), 1103-1140.

[31] Y. Martel and F. Merle, A Liouville theorem for the critical generalized Korteweg–de Vries equation, J. Math. Pures Appl. **79** (2000), 339–425.

[32] Y. Martel and F. Merle, Instability of solitons for the critical generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation. Geom. Funct. Anal. **11** (2001), 74–123.

[33] Y. Martel and F. Merle, Stability of blow up profile and lower bounds for blow up rate for the critical generalized KdV equation, Ann. of Math. **155** (2002), 235–280.

[34] Y. Martel and F. Merle, Blow up in finite time and dynamics of blow up solutions for the L^2-critical generalized KdV equation, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (2002), 617–664.

[35] Y. Martel and F. Merle, Nonexistence of blow-up solution with minimal L^2-mass for the critical gKdV equation. Duke Math. J. **115** (2002), 385–408.

[36] Y. Martel and F. Merle, Multi solitary waves for nonlinear Schrödinger equations. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **23** (2006), 849–864.

[37] Y. Martel and F. Merle, Description of the collision of two solitons for the quartic gKdV equation, Annals of Math. **174** (2011), 757–857.

[38] Y. Martel, F. Merle and P. Raphaël, Blow up for the critical gKdV equation I: dynamics near the soliton. Preprint.

[39] Y. Martel, F. Merle and P. Raphaël, Blow up for the critical gKdV equation II: minimal mass solution. Preprint.

[40] Y. Martel, F. Merle, T.-P. Tsai, Stability in H^1 of the sum of K solitary waves for some nonlinear Schrödinger equations, Duke Math. J. **133** (2006), 405–466.

[41] F. Merle, Construction of solutions with exactly k blow-up points for the Schrödinger equation with critical nonlinearity, Comm. Math. Phys. **129** (1990), 223–240.

[42] F. Merle, Determination of blow-up solutions with minimal mass for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with critical power, Duke Math. J. **69** (1993), 427–454.

[43] F. Merle, Nonexistence of minimal blow-up solutions of equations $iu_t = -\Delta u - k(x)|u|^{\frac{4}{N}}u$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor. **64** (1996), 33–85.

[44] F. Merle, Existence of blow-up solutions in the energy space for the critical generalized KdV equation. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **14** (2001), 555–578.

[45] F. Merle and P. Raphaël, Sharp upper bound on the blow up rate for the critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Geom. Func. Anal. **13** (2003), 591–642.

[46] F. Merle and P. Raphaël, On universality of blow-up profile for L^2 critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation. Invent. Math. **156** (2004), 565–672.

[47] F. Merle and P. Raphaël, The blow up dynamics and upper bound on the blow up rate for the critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Ann. of Math. **161** (2005), 157–222.

[48] F. Merle and P. Raphaël, Profiles and quantization of the blow up mass for critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Commun. Math. Phys. **253** (2005), 675–704.

[49] F. Merle and P. Raphaël, On a sharp lower bound on the blow-up rate for the L^2 critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (2006), 37–90.

[50] F. Merle, P. Raphaël and J. Szeftel, The instability of Bourgain-Wang solutions for the L^2 critical NLS, preprint arXiv:1010.5168

[51] F. Merle, P. Raphaël and I. Rodnianski, Blow up dynamics for smooth data equivariant solutions to the energy critical Schrodinger map problem. preprint arXiv:1102.4308

[52] K. Nakanishi and W. Schlag, Global dynamics above the ground state energy for the focusing nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation, J. Differential Equations **250** (2011), 2299–2333.

[53] K. Nakanishi and W. Schlag, Global dynamics above the ground state energy for the cubic NLS equation in 3D, arXiv:1007.4025.

[54] P. Raphaël, Stability of the log-log bound for blow up solutions to the critical non linear Schrödinger equation. Math. Ann. **331** (2005), 577–609.

[55] P. Raphaël, Stability and blow up for the nonlinear Schrodinger equation, Lecture notes for the Clay summer school on evolution equations, ETH, Zurich (2008)

[56] P. Raphaël and I. Rodnianski, Stable blow up dynamics for the critical co-rotational Wave Maps and equivariant Yang-Mills problems. To appear in Publications scientifiques de l'IHES. arXiv:0911.0692

[57] P. Raphaël and R. Schweyer, Stable blow up dynamics for the 1-corotational harmonic heat flow, preprint 2011.

[58] P. Raphaël and J. Szeftel, Existence and uniqueness of minimal blow up solutions to an inhomogeneous mass critical NLS. To appear in J. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (2011). Preprint arXiv:1001.1627

[59] M. J. Landman, G. C. Papanicolaou, C. Sulem and P.-L. Sulem, Rate of blowup for solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation at critical dimension. Phys. Rev. A (3) **38** (1988), 3837–3843.

[60] G. Perelman, On the formation of singularities in solutions of the critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Ann. Henri Poincaré **2** (2001), 605–673.

[61] I. Rodnianski, J. Sterbenz, On the formation of singularities in the critical $O(3)$ σ-model, Ann. of Math. (2) **172** (2010), 187–242.

[62] Schweyer R., Type II blow bp for the four dimensional energy critical semi linear heat equation, arXiv:1201.2907.

[63] S. Shao, The linear profile decomposition for the Airy equation and the existence of maximizers for the Airy Strichartz inequality. Anal. PDE **2** (2009), 83–117.

[64] G. Talenti, Best constant in Sobolev inequality, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **110** (1976), 535–372.

[65] M.I. Weinstein, Nonlinear Schrödinger equations and sharp interpolation estimates, Comm. Math. Phys. **87** (1983), 567–576.

[66] M.I. Weinstein, Modulational stability of ground states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **16** (1985), 472–491.

[67] M.I. Weinstein, Lyapunov stability of ground states of nonlinear dispersive evolution equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **39**, (1986) 51–68.

Université de Versailles St-Quentin and Institut Universitaire de France, LMV CNRS UMR8100
E-mail address: `yvan.martel@uvsq.fr`

Université de Cergy Pontoise and Institut des Hautes Etudes Scientifiques, AGM CNRS UMR8088
E-mail address: `merle@math.u-cergy.fr`

Université Paul Sabatier and Institut Universitaire de France, Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse, CNRS UMR 5219
E-mail address: `pierre.raphael@math.univ-toulouse.fr`